2

Military and Politics in Syria (1946-1963): Alliances, Conflicts and Purges

KHOULOUD AL ZGHAYARE

Introduction

This research¹ seeks to examine the relationship between political and military institutions in Syria from the French evacuation and Syrian independence in 1946 until the 1963 coup d'état when the military seized power. While the coup initially had a civilian front, it immediately became apparent that it was unequivocally militaristic. Furthermore, the research sheds light on the impact of the French mandate on the following period of Syrian history, as most post-independence political parties, as well as the core of the Syrian military, were formed during this period. By taking state formation as a starting point, this research aims to provide a better understanding of the relationship between military and politics in subsequent stages, an issue that is both old and new.

The problematic relationship between the military and politics is underscored by the blurred boundaries between these institutions, where collaboration and interferences are common. The study first examines the pattern of cooperation and overlap,

through which politicians built military alliances collaborated with the army several times to assume power and advance political and partisan interests. Political parties had loyalist military blocs that subscribed to their respective party's ideologies and supported their political goals. This contributed to the transformation of the army into an arena that reflected, as well as reinforced, political conflict. Moreover, political orientations varied within the military, where personnel were both ambitious and held influence over political decisions. The army thus moved beyond its primary role of protecting the country from external aggression and failed to preserve its neutrality towards institutions of civilian rule. As a result, a mutually beneficial relationship materialised, with each institution recruiting followers from the other and expanding its patronage networks. Alliances and interferences ensued, where politicians used their connections in the military to further their interests, and vice versa.

The study then explores the competitive and conflicting relationship between the military and politics, which led to a series of exclusions and purges that exhausted and weakened both institutions. The army often challenged the legitimacy of the political authority, its political, social and economic orientations, as well as its position on international and regional conflicts. The army repeatedly intervened in politics and

imposed its views on decision-making institutions, disrupted their work, or staged military coups. In alignment with party interests, some politicians attempted to curtail the power of the military and weaken its blocs, while others saw the army as a tool to wield power, and chose to bring it to their side rather than antagonize it.

There are few studies that explore the relationship between military and politics in Syria during this time period. The most prominent are the works of Torrey (1964), Owen (1978), and Van Dusen (1971) which examine the role of the military in politics, the formulation of the two institutions, the social background of their members and the conflict between them. The remaining the studies fall into two categories. The first either has a solely historic or political approach, as in the study of Kamal Deeb (2011) on the contemporary history of Syria, the works of Uthman (2001) and Ferzat (2019) on political parties in Syria, and Seale (1989) and Van Dam (1995) on the struggle for power in Syria. These studies focus on political events in historical context without analysing the discourses each side used to legitimise its own practices. Furthermore, these studies examine political parties and the military more so than their interactive relationship and structural interests.

The second category, on the other hand, has a socio-historic analytical approach. Some studies are based on a Marxist

approach, such as the works of Abdullah Hanna (1973; 2011) on Syrian political parties. Others are based on approaches inspired by Marx, Weber, and Durkheim, as in the works of Batato (2014) and Khoury (1993; 1997) which base their analyses on the narrative history of the elite's origins and development, and on class and ethno-religious conflict. Moreover, some of these studies focus on a particular elite, including the works of Hinnebush (1990; 2011) and Rabinovich (1972) that examine the Ba'ath Party and Syrian Army during the Ba'athist period.

The study builds on existing informative and analytical works, and introduces a new layer of testimonies from social actors, in both political and military fields, through the use of their memoirs. This forms a link between historical, political, social and personal readings of this period. The study highlights the role of social actors in important events and reviews their speeches in an effort to better understand the choices they made. Furthermore, the research traces the origins of the relationship between political institutions, including parties and leaders in power and in opposition, and military institutions represented by army officers and military blocs, aiming to form a nexus between political and historical studies, memoirs and archives.

The study is composed of three chapters. The first chapter examines the structure of prominent political and military elites that occupied the forefront of Syrian politics post-independence.

It reviews the historical context in which the elite originated, the environments in which they were active, the classes they targeted, and the class, cultural and ideological composition of their leaders, in order to understand their choice of alliances and struggles. Three elites are examined, starting with the traditional ruling elite represented by the National Bloc which later split into two parties, the National Party and the People's Party. Second is the emerging ideological elite and their associated parties that formed following the decline of the traditional elite whose discourse had failed to adapt to societal changes. Parties chosen for the study include the League of Nationalist Action, the Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party, the Syrian Social Nationalist Party, the Syrian Communist Party, and the Muslim Brotherhood. Third is the military elite that played a pivotal role in Syrian political life during this period. The study categorizes this elite into two generations: the first generation was formed prior to independence within the framework of the French military establishment, and the second was formed after independence within the national military establishment.

The second chapter examines the changing alliances among these three elites. It looks at the grounds for alliance and highlights the role of local affiliations, loyalties and political interests in moulding these alliances. It also examines the divisions and blocs that formed following internal dissent on social and agriculture issues, corruption, wealth distribution, the republican system, and support for the army. There was further disagreement surrounding foreign affairs, most importantly on the nature of Arab unity, Western political involvement and conspiracies, and cooperation with regional and international axes with interests in Syria. The section explores how the emerging ideological and military elites wove their alliances and cemented their legitimacy to challenge the traditional elite based on a discourse that adopted issues of modernity, the social issue and a clear stance towards external aggression. Finally, it examines the impact of internal and external factors on political stability in Syria, the rise of left-wing politics, and the union with Egypt.

The final chapter examines the political deployment of the military, the militaristic deployment of politicians and vice versa, how the military built a discourse that legitimized its intervention in politics, and the backdrop to the accusatory discourse exchanged between civilian politicians and the military. The section sheds light on the role of exclusions and purges that were carried out on a political-partisan basis or with a revenge-interest agenda in the collapse of political and military institutions. The section then examines how these circumstances paved the way for the Ba'ath's rise to power in the 1960s, as it worked on the integration of military institutions into the party's

cadres and established an ideological army. The party was only able to put an end to the tense relationship between politicians and the military after the civilian wing of the Ba'ath was excluded and the military wing assumed leadership. Finally, the section looks at how and why the formation of blocs and factions within the military took place on a clannist-sectarian basis, after they had previously been on a political-ideological basis. This led to a series of coups and coup attempts that lasted until a new period of rule began in 1970. While the following period was more stable as the government effectively prevented military coups, it did so by suspending political pluralism, thus hindering the creation of a modern democratic state.

The study adopts a socio-political and economic approach to examining the structures, discourses and practices of the elite by linking them to local, regional and international historical contexts. It relies on primary resources including documents, speeches, memoirs and political writings of politicians and the military. It also utilizes secondary resources that examine this period in Syrian history. The study aims to provide answers to the following questions: is the competition and conflict between the military and political elites attributed to social-class factors, political-ideological factors, or is it simply a struggle for power and influence? What made institutions of the Syrian Army vulnerable to factionalism and bloc formation at times on a

partisan-political basis, and at others on a clannist-sectarian basis? And finally, did military intervention in politics contribute to the failure of building a state with a pluralistic, democratic, and stable political system, or did the failure to establish such a state contribute to the army's rebellion and intervention in politics?

This study will be published in three parts. The first discusses the sociocultural-ideological composition of the Syrian elites, while the second and third parts discuss subsequent alliances and divisions, the crisis of political instability and military intervention in politics and vice versa.

1. Sociocultural and Ideological Composition of the Syrian Elite

1.1. Traditional Ruling Elite

The traditional elite that led the struggle for independence, and later ruled over Syria, were mainly descended from members of the pan-Arab nationalist faction of landowning bureaucrats; those who entered an ideological struggle, rather than a class struggle, with fellow members of their class as they competed for positions in the Ottoman state following the events of 1860.² Conflict arose surrounding the administration and distribution of privileges amongst themselves. Following the coup of 1908,³ the countercoup of 1909⁴ and the ensuing Turkification policies, the

elite split up into a pan-Arab nationalist-oriented group that fell short of opportunities in the Ottoman state, and a group that remained loyal to the Ottoman state. The ideologies of the latter subsequently shifted with the changing tides and interests following the arrival of King Faisal bin Hussein's forces⁵ in Syria in 1918 to establish the United Arab State and proclaim independence from the Ottoman Empire.

A liberal pan-Arab nationalist elite had begun to take form since the early 20th century. They were influenced by the 19th century rise of European nationalism that was promulgated by foreign schools and missionaries and was supported by the interests of the growing Syrian commercial society and European commercial organisations. This elite was composed of members of the feudal class, large urban and rural families, the new aristocracy from various sects, members of the General Assembly, and independent professionals and students in places such as Istanbul and Paris.⁶ Under these circumstances, the new nationalist thought was born, and on its margins, national blocs and independence parties were formed.⁷

Early party formations appeared under the Arab constitutional government (1918-1920), the majority of which advocated for pan-Arab nationalism. These included the Young Arab Society (*Al-Fatat*), the Arab Independence Party, and the Syrian Covenant Society (*Al-'Ahd*) which were composed of Syrians

and Arabs from Lebanon, Iraq and Palestine. Others, such as the Syrian Unionist Party and the Syrian National Party, limited their agendas to Syria while advocating for stronger nationalist and cultural ties with Arab peoples, as they believed the new Syrian state to be part of a wider nation. Following French occupation and the granting of freedom of association, the first Syrian political party, the People's Party, was formed. It was founded by Abd al-Rahman Shahbandar and Damascene figures Fakhri al-Baroudi, Ihsan al-Sharif, Nazih Muayyad al-Azm, Faris al-Khoury, Hassan al-Hakim and Saeed Haidar. The political agenda was announced at the founding conference on June 5, 1925, aiming to unite nationalist ranks into a leading political organisation. The National Bloc was created towards the end of following the declaration of the French High 1926 Commissioner for Syria and Lebanon, Henri Ponsot, to discuss France's mandatory role. A conference led by Hashim Al-Atassi was held in Beirut in October 1927, calling for a clarification of the role of French protection.8 The National Bloc started to expand as nationalist exiles were allowed to return to Syria following the 1928 amnesty. The party laid down its founding principles at the Homs conference in November 1932, demanding unity of Syrian lands.

There were several reasons behind the formation of the National Bloc and its prevalence in Syrian politics. The first related to rising concerns over regional conditions of fragmentation, the erection of customs barriers between countries of the Arab Mashreq, subsequent changes in economic relations, and trends of industrial and commercial movements. This drove people of commerce, industry, and agriculture, who hailed from major urban and rural landowning families, to band together in order to preserve their interests against foreign companies that had been granted access to the country by the mandate. The second reason was that the elite were worried about the French creating cadres of employees that would challenge their social and political standing. In order to appears the elite and contain any notions of rebellion, the mandate administration chose to promote Syrian products, the Syrian economy, and the establishment of companies run by the elite. The third reason was that national elite leaders noticed a shift in French policy and perceived an increased possibility that France would sign the treaty of 1936. 10 Finally, the elite leadership had to unite in light of Hashemites and Saudis vying for influence over political streams. They moved to protect Syrian interests, reinforcing their role in domestic politics, as more Arab regions moved towards forming independent states.¹¹

Following the 1943 parliamentary elections, opposition to the National Bloc began to form. This opposition was made up of two political streams. Members of the first stream belonged to

the same class and intellectual background as the ruling elite, but diverged on internal and external policies. Some were parliamentary candidates, and others were members of parliament aspiring to become ministers. This stream was headed by Nazim al-Qudsi (1905-1998) and Rushdi al-Kikhya (1899-1988), two national figures from the upper bourgeois families of Aleppo. They both began their political careers with the National Bloc and exited the party in 1938-1939 after negotiations with France on the ratification of the 1936 Treaty fell through. Al-Qudsi and Kikhya became the figureheads of parliamentary opposition until 1949. In 1945, they formed the Constitutional Front in parliament, which later became the People's Party in 1948. The Constitutional Bloc represented parliamentary opposition to the pro-government National Bloc. Neither bloc had a clearly defined agenda or an official list of members. It was thus difficult to discern different bloc members unless they provided explicit statements of positionality.¹²

Members of the second stream belonged to the smaller landowning class and were fundamentally opposed to the ideas and policies of the ruling elite. The majority were educated youth with ambitions for power and to make changes to government policies. Most notable among them was Akram al-Hourani (1911-1996), who came from a middle-class landowning family that had squandered its fortune.

Political blocs and parties of the traditional elite developed as well-known figures of social and political status joined. Relationships within the party were built on familial and neighbourhood ties, kinship, marriage, school friendships, and previous participation in national organizations and associations. They lacked party organization and discipline, and remained closed to outsiders. In effect, they were an exclusive circle of elite families who possessed and exercised social, economic, and political power.

The National Bloc, like the People's Party before it, was a homogeneous group. More than 90 percent of its leaders were Sunni residents of inner cities, mainly Damascus, Aleppo, Homs, and Hama. Over 60 percent were either landowning bureaucrats or educated landowners from the traditional upper class. Moreover, 25 percent came from wealthy or middle-class merchant families, while only 10 percent were of the landless employee class. ¹³

Members of this elite were highly educated and wellequipped for political and administrative positions. Most of them received a secular education in politics, law, administration, and medicine at universities in Istanbul and Europe, or the Syrian Protestant College in Beirut. They thus obtained considerable cultural capital that reinforced their financial capital, and vice versa. The large income they received from land rent enabled them to dedicate time to studies and travel. Only those with financial capital were able to acquire cultural capital that they would subsequently utilize to reinforce their financial capital, power, and property. It is worth noting that members of these parties were of similar age groups, and therefore of the same generation. As for their diverse origins, which included Arab, Turkish and Kurdish, these notions were overshadowed by the choice of Arabism as both an ideology and an identity. To demonstrate, the National Bloc hailed from early pan-Arab national societies, such as the Young Arab Society, that defended the Arab identity.

These common sociocultural and intellectual environments generated similar interests among the affluent and educated class elite. These included reading, collecting books, poetry, music, art, traveling, fashion, and an affinity for foreign languages.

Following independence in 1946, three main political blocs formed in parliament in 1947. The first was the National Party which grew from the remnants of the National Bloc. Members of the Bloc called for a conference in April 1947 in Damascus, during which the National Party was established. Saad Allah al-Jabiri was chosen for party leadership. He was succeeded upon his death by Nabih al-Azma, who resigned in 1948, with Abd al-Rahman al-Kayyali assuming party leadership. The party had offices in the provinces and primarily included Damascene

leaders in addition to a few leaders from Aleppo. The party thereby reflected Damascene politics, culture, and the local social environment in its narrowest form. The party also enjoyed the support of industrialists, big businessmen, and landowners. Its connection to the people was on a personal, rather than a partisan, basis. The party's success in elections was in large part due to a network of patronage stemming from the relations, reputation, position, wealth, and national records of its leaders. Influence was tied to neighbourhood relations, marriages, and kinships among its members. Rather than competing based on political programs and agendas, leaders running for elections relied on the support of *qabadayat* (henchmen). That is, they used their power, prestige, and reputation to rally local influential networks.

The second political bloc to emerge post-independence was the People's Party, founded during the 1948 Constitutional Bloc conference in Lebanon. Its founding members were Nazim al-Qudsi and Rushdi al-Kikhya, two former members of the National Bloc. The party was made up of conservative landowners, wealthy individuals, and a centrist intelligentsia. It represented the commercial and agricultural interests of Aleppo and the northern region, ¹⁴ believing agriculture to be critical to economic success. It set provisions for determining future ownership that safeguarded against any retroactive effects. This

indicated a clear consideration for the interests of senior party members and provincial supporters.¹⁵ The party gained the support of the enlightened Aleppian liberal and Islamist elite, major landowners and most industrialists in Aleppo, as it encouraged industrial growth in the city.¹⁶ It also received support from the feudal al-Atassi family in Homs, which opposed the rule of Quwatli and Damascene politicians.

The third bloc was formed by Jamil Mardam Bey, a nationalist leader who gathered independent representatives into a parliamentary bloc he called the Republican Bloc. It included around forty representatives of districts and small cities. According to Muhammad Harb Farzat, this clearly demonstrates the prevalence of personal interests over the public interest under critical international circumstances.¹⁷

Table 1 illustrates the social, cultural, and political composition of members of the traditional Syrian elite in terms of their family and social class, religion and origin, schools and universities they attended, cultural interests, and political affiliations.

Table 1: The social, cultural, and political composition of the traditional ruling elite. 18

Name	Social Composition	Cultural Composition	
------	--------------------	----------------------	--

	Family and Class	Religion and Origin	Schools	Universities	Interests	Political Affiliations
Hashim al-	Feudal	Sunni	He learned	The Royal	Reading in	He did not
Atassi	family from	Muslim	the Quran	Shahania	French;	participate in
(1875-	Homs;	Arab of	during his	College in	meeting	the Great
1960)	economic,	Turkish	childhood;	Istanbul.	and	Arab Revolt,
	social and	origin.	elementary		conversing	although he
	religious		school in		with	was
	status.		Homs; The		people.	sympathetic
			Royal			to it. He was
			School in			an employee
			Beirut.			and
						administrator
						in the
						institutions
						of the
						Ottoman
						Empire. He
						was elected
						president of
						the Syrian
						National
						Congress in

						its first meeting in 1919; National Bloc.
Shukri al-	Feudal	Sunni	He learned	The Royal	Arabic	Young Arab
Quwatly	family from	Muslim	the Quran	School of	language	Society; the
(1891-	Damascus;	Arab.	during his	Political	and	Literary
1967)	they		Childhood;	Science in	literature;	Club;
	accumulated		Al-Azarya	Istanbul.	he	National
	wealth and		School in		founded	Bloc.
	properties		Damascus;		the	
	through		Maktab		Literary	
	trade;		Anbar.		Club (al-	
	economic				Muntada	
	and social				al-Adabi).	
	status.					
Ibrahim	Feudal	Sunni	Harem and	The Royal	Public	Party of
Hananu	family from	Muslim	Aleppo	School;	speaking.	Union and
	Aleppo;	Kurd.	schools.	Faculty of		Progress;

(1869-	major			Law in		National
1935)	landowners;			Istanbul.		Bloc.
	local and					
	rural					
	notables.					
Saadallah	Venerable	Sunni	Al-	The Royal	Literature,	Young Arab
al-Jabiri	Aleppian	Muslim	Rashidiya	Shahania	poetry,	Society;
(1894-	family;	Arab.	School in	College in	elegance	National
1946)	economic,		Aleppo.	Istanbul;	and	Bloc.
	social and			Germany.	fashion.	
	religious					
	status;					
	Aleppo					
	notables.					
Abd al-	Upper class	Sunni	The Royal	American	Reading,	He was a
Rahman al-	Aleppian	Muslim	School in	University of	writing	deputy in the
Kayyali	family;	Arab.	Aleppo.	Beirut.	and	National
(1887-	economic,				collecting	Congress of
1969)	social and				books	1920;
	religious				pertaining	National
	status.				to science	Bloc.
					and	
					literature.	

Jamil	Feudal	Sunni	Al-Azariya	The Paris	Literature,	Young Arab
Mardam	Damascene	Muslim	School in	Institute for	poetry and	Society;
Bey	family;	Arab of	Damascus.	Political	public	Arab
(1893-	wealth and	Turkish		Studies.	speaking.	National
1960)	prestige;	origin.				Congress of
	upper class					1913 in
	notables.					Paris; the
						first Arab
						Club in
						Damascus in
						1918;
						People's
						Party (1925);
						National
						Bloc.
Fares al-	Middle class	Christian	The village	American	Reading,	Party of
Khoury	agricultural	Protestant	school in	University of	foreign	Union and
(1877-	family; they	of Greek	Kfeir; The	Beirut;	languages,	Progress;
1962)	are not	origin.	American	Faculty of	poetry and	People's
	among the		School in	Law in	literature.	Party (1925);
	wealthy		Sidon.	Damascus.		National
	landowner					Bloc.
	class.					

Narratives of Transformation

Fakhri al-	Wealthy	Sunni	The	He tried to	Poetry,	People's
Baroudi	aristocratic	Muslim	Quranic	study abroad	music,	Party (1925);
(1887-	family;	Arab.	School (al-	in Istanbul.	theatre,	National
1966)	upper class		Kuttab); al-	He travelled	cooking,	Bloc.
	notables;		Azariya	to France in	writing,	
	landowners		School; al-	pursuit of	public	
	and		Rihaniyya	higher	speaking,	
	bureaucrats.		School; al-	education,	languages,	
			Yaghushiya	but his father	satirical	
			School.	did not	news, and	
				permit him	fencing,	
				to stay.		
Lutfi al-	Religious	Sunni	Private	He was	Reading,	al-Nahda
Haffar	and	Muslim	schools in	privately	teaching,	Arab
(1885-	conservative	Arab.	Damascus.	educated by	poetry,	Association;
1968)	family;			tutors on	music,	Young Arab
	commercial			religion,	theology,	Association;
	class.			Arabic	natural	People's
				literature,	sciences	Party (1925);
				mathematics,	and	National
				natural	languages.	Bloc.
				sciences, and		
				chemistry.		

Narratives of Transformation

Abd al-	Middle class	Sunni	Damascene	American	Public	Union of
Rahman	family of	Muslim	schools.	University of	speaking.	Syrian
Shahbandar	small	Arab of		Beirut.		Opposition;
(1879-	merchants;	Turkmen				People's
1940)	they are not	origin.				Party (1925).
	among the					
	feudal					
	landowning					
	class.					
Rushdi al-	Wealthy	Sunni	Aleppian	He weas	-	National
Kikhya	family from	Muslim	schools;	privately		Bloc;
(1899-	the Aleppo	Arab of	The Islamic	educated by		People's
1998)	notables.	Turkmen	college in	distinguished		Party (1948).
		origin.	Beirut.	tutors in		
				Aleppo.		
Nazim al-	Bourgeois	Sunni	The	Faculty of	Music.	National
Qudsi	Aleppian	Muslim	Franciscan	Law in		Bloc;
(1905-	family.	Arab.	School in	Damascus;		People's
1998)			Aleppo.	Doctorate in		Party (1948).
				International		
				Law from		
				Geneva,		
				Switzerland.		

Faydi al-	Family of	Sunni	Galatsaray	Faculty of	Elegance	National
Atassi	notables;	Muslim	Highschool	Law in	and style,	Bloc;
(1898-	large	Arab of	- French	Geneva;	languages,	People's
1982)	landowners;	Turkish	division in	Faculty of	literature,	Party (1948).
	judges and	origin.	Istanbul;	Law in	poetry,	
	muftis.		White	Damascus;	reading	
			Fathers	political	and	
			School in	science in	writing.	
			Jerusalem.	Damascus.		

1.2. Emerging Ideological Elite

A new elite emerged after economic and social transformations weakened the traditional ruling elite, with programs and agendas aimed to change the existing social order. It included those from middle class commercial backgrounds, mid-level state bureaucracy, professionals, and nascent industrial bourgeoisie.¹⁹ During their studies abroad, they were influenced by European culture and acquired new methods of political organisation. The

political parties they subsequently formed were based on sound ideologies that emphasized social and economic justice for the masses and challenged the National Bloc's monopoly on politics. This elite that emerged under the French mandate did not form within the framework of a centralized nation-state, nor was it the result of an expanding powerful bourgeois class. Rather, it took advantage of the declining role and influence of the traditional-liberal elite. Knowledge, modernity, and a mission to change the political system were the driving force behind this emerging elite and the source of its legitimacy.

Public outcry against the establishment of a Syrian state under the Sykes-Picot Agreement, and British support for the establishment of the State of Israel in Palestine, played a key role in the emergence and expansion of ideological parties in Syria and the region. These parties were formed by members of the emerging middle class who had studied in Europe and were influenced by ideas of nationalism, Marxism, and national liberation movements that dominated global political and cultural scenes in the early twentieth century. This is evident in the fact that leaders of emerging parties belonged to an elite group of intellectuals that included political theorists. The most prominent of these parties were: The League of Nationalist Action; the Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party; Syrian Social Nationalist Party; Syrian Communist Party; and the Muslim Brotherhood.

1.2.1. The League of Nationalist Action

This was the first political party with an Arab nationalist orientation. Syria suffered economic depression from 1930 to 1934,²⁰ and faced problems in marketing, trade and industry resulting from the depreciation of the French franc. The country also suffered from decline in export products intended for Europe and the Americas, a drastic fall in remittances, and the inability of Syrians from all professions to pay their debts. Many commercial and financial institutions went bankrupt.²¹ These circumstances negatively impacted the popularity of the National Bloc, who still favoured a strategy of honourable cooperation with France and failed to promote any social or economic reform program to counteract the effects of the crises. Around fifty Arab nationalist intellectuals²² gathered in the Lebanese village of Qarnayel, near Chtoura, with a mission to organise and coordinate national independence movements in the Arab region. They announced the establishment of the League of Nationalist Action, a new political organisation based in Damascus that would coordinate with similar parties in the region.²³

The League's stated goal was to achieve Arab sovereignty, independence, and unity. It focused on the importance of economic growth and unification in the struggle against colonialism and feudalism. Philip Khoury argues that the League adopted neither socialism nor Marxism-Leninism. Instead, national struggle replaced class struggle. It was a reformist movement, popular in some respects, and leaned towards centralisation.²⁴

Because the League was largely composed of youth and lacked political organisation among urban masses, it failed to challenge the National Bloc. The League thereafter sought to build a political base in Damascus and other cities, particularly among young people frustrated with the honourable cooperation policy of the National Bloc. These included graduates of public high schools, such as al-Tajheez School, where educators predominantly taught Arab nationalism.

The League encompassed the second generation of Syrian nationalists and was influential among the younger intellectual elite of the 1930s, with its members having an average age of 29. Most of its leaders were lawyers²⁵ who had completed their higher education in Europe, mainly France, or at the Syrian University in Damascus. There was therefore a marked cultural difference between leaders of the League and those of the National Bloc. While the latter were influenced by a

combination of Ottoman-Arab and European cultures, the former had a stronger Arab disposition and modern Western influences.

The League leadership was diverse in terms of class and origin. It was composed of middle-class professionals, merchants, and employees. A minority belonged to poor branches of large landowning families or did not own land. They stood in contrast to leaders of the National Bloc who hailed from urban landowning families. The League had a strong presence in Homs due to the support of Hashim al-Atassi. Moreover, it garnered the support of the Greek Orthodox community in Homs who considered itself Syrian Arab.

The League organized itself along the lines of a modern political party. It had a central political council, membership fees, a political program and published a weekly political newspaper called The Nationalist Action. However, it remained distinctly elitist and did not appeal to the masses.

1.2.2. The Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party

The League of Nationalist Action laid the ideological and organisational foundations of radical Arabism, which the Ba'ath built on after independence. The Ba'ath Party did not emerge as a single coherent party but was comprised of four political streams with distinct ideologies.²⁶ Each stream bolstered the

Ba'ath Party with its supporters and followers from various social backgrounds.

The first political movement formed in the early 1940s, when students and young intellectuals began to rally around Michel Aflaq and Salah al-Din al-Bitar, two educators at al-Tajheez high school in Damascus who had studied at the Sorbonne in Paris. Aflaq studied literature and history, while al-Bitar studied physics. After their return to Damascus, they were active in their local communities and intellectual spheres. By relying on Damascus's teachers, students, and intellectuals, Aflaq's movement had purely intellectual beginnings. In their meetings, they discussed Arabism, decolonisation, and corruption among the ruling elite. Citing the Renaissance in Europe, they stressed the need for an Arab renaissance.²⁷ Geographically, they primarily relied on the people of al-Midan neighbourhood in Damascus, as both their families were grain merchants in al-Midan. Like most merchants in the neighbourhood, they had trade relations with the southern region that encompassed Horan and Jabal al-Arab. Aflaq's friendship with Druze families contributed to Druze notables joining the Ba'ath leadership, including the al-Atrash family. Furthermore, the majority of Ba'ath Party members were from rural areas, who went to Damascus to continue their education. They belonged to religious minorities including Druze, Alawites, and Ismailis.

Sami al-Jundi, one of the early Ba'athists, asserts that most party affiliates in Damascus were young rural students who attended the university and high schools in the city between 1940-1955. Once they completed their studies, they returned to their hometowns where they continued to be active party members. Rural social conditions facilitated the emergence and expansion of the party in rural settings, while its presence remained weak in cities like Damascus.²⁸

The second political movement comprised students of Zaki al-Arsuzi, who hailed from a family of Alawite notables that fled Antioch after the annexation of Alexandretta by Turkey in 1938. He studied philosophy at the Sorbonne in Paris. Arsuzi is considered a founding member of the Ba'ath party, and has quarrelled with Aflaq over the title of 'godfather' and the antecedent use of the 'Ba'ath' name. After Arsuzi's influence declined, he was succeeded as party leader by Wahib al-Ghanim, one of his most prominent supporters. Ghanim was also an Alawite from Alexandretta and came from a middle-class family.²⁹ He studied medicine at the Sorbonne in Paris and opened a private clinic upon his return to Latakia in 1943. He established the Ba'ath Party in the city and found widespread support among poor and educated peasants.³⁰ This was a leftwing socialist political stream³¹ that demanded a secular state.

The third political movement was led by Jalal al-Sayyid, who came from a landowning tribal background.³² His father was a judge and a leader of the *Khershan*, the largest of the Deir al-Zur tribes.³³ This stream rejected socialism, as it had a pan-Arab nationalist right-wing orientation that supported unity with Iraq, and was sympathetic to the Hashemites. This is attributed to prevalent blood ties and kinships between peoples of the eastern Syrian regions and Iraq, and by the distribution of tribal lands between the two countries.

The fourth political movement joined the Ba'ath Party at a later stage. It was led by Akram al-Hourani who, at the beginning of his political career, had spent a year with the Syrian Social Nationalist Party. However, upon completion of his law degree at the University of Damascus, he returned to Hama in 1938 and joined the Youth Party founded by his cousin, Othman al-Hourani. He was active against the National Bloc and its National Youth group. Hourani successfully mobilized peasants of Hama to end the political control of absentee landowners. His victory in the 1943 elections led to the introduction of the 'social question' in parliament for the first time. In 1951, he founded the left-wing Arab Socialist Party, which merged with the Arab Ba'ath Party in 1952 to form the Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party and overthrow Adib al-Shishakli. Following the 5th National Congress of May 1962, Hourani and his loyalists were expelled

from the Party due to their nonconforming activities postsecession from the United Arab Republic.³⁴ Hourani and his party played a pivotal role in expanding the Ba'ath Party's support base among popular classes and establishing strong ties with junior army officers.

The spread of the Ba'ath from Damascus to other parts of Syria happened organically, without an explicit agenda or program of work. The expansion of the Ba'ath was, in large part, due to personal efforts and initiatives of early party members in their hometowns. The party grew in areas of Jabal al-Druze through Mansur al-Atrash, son of Sultan Pasha al-Atrash, leader of the Syrian Revolution in the 1920s, and in Homs through Jamal al-Atassi, a psychiatrist from the venerable Atassi family of Homs.

1.2.3. Syrian Social Nationalist Party

It was founded by Antoun Saadeh (1904-1949).³⁵ Saadeh sought to establish a regional identity in the Arab Levant that transcended Arab and Islamic identities. He disagreed with the French-backed Maronite Lebanese Phalangist Party (*Kataeb*) who favoured the secession of Lebanon from Syria over Saadeh's call for unity of all Syrian lands. The Syrian Social Nationalist Party found support among many ethnic, religious and sectarian minorities, especially in the Alawite Mountains,

and among non-Maronite Christians. Party ideals resonated with Levantine Christians, who saw the Arab Levant as their natural and historic home, based on national, geographic, and heritage ties. Saadeh called for secularism, reconciling sects, a central state, and a strong army, thereby transforming the Syrian idea into the form of a political party. The party was also popular among students, the petty bourgeoisie, and the army. However, Saadeh's disagreement with Arab nationalists and communists weakened his popularity and expansion in cities influenced by Arabism, and later by Marxism, which attracted urban intellectuals and subsequent generations of emerging bourgeoisie.

The Syrian Social Nationalist Party left its mark on parties that emerged after it, which adopted its method of organization, administration and ideological language. It also played an important role in politics and in the Syrian military establishment until 1955 when the party was accused of assassinating Colonel Adnan al-Malki. The party was consequently purged and outlawed.³⁶

1.2.4. Syrian Communist Party

This party was active among high school students, selfemployed professionals, and workers in textile factories and railways. The party included many Armenians, Kurds and other minorities.³⁷ It was led by Khalid Bakdash (1912-1995), who was born in the Kurdish neighbourhood of Damascus, and is of Kurdish origin. After graduating from Maktab Anbar, ³⁸ Bakdash joined the Faculty of Law in Damascus, but was unable to continue his studies due to his persecution.³⁹ During the early 1930s, he was frequently arrested for his pro-Communist and anti-French activities. 40 He worked at the Ministry of Finance in the tax stamp bandroll section, where there were special procedures for cigarettes and tobacco. He also worked as a superintendent in the Sahnawi factory in Bab Sharqi, 41 and wrote and translated articles for al-Ayyam and al-Qabas newspapers. Bakdash devoted himself to political work after his release from prison in 1931. In 1933, he went to Moscow under party orders to study Marxism-Leninism and political economy at the Communist University of the Toilers of the East. During his two and a half year stay, he learned Russian and received an ideological education. In 1936, Bakdash returned to Syria and became Secretary of the Syrian Communist Party. That same year, he played a positive role in negotiations between the leftwing Popular Front French government and the Syrian delegation in Paris.

The Syrian Communist Party gained legitimacy during World War II, as Bakdash led national liberation activities, including underground resistance against Vichy France between 1940-1941. According to Philip Khoury, Bakdash worked to establish

a "pragmatic" relationship with political and financial institutions in Syria. This pragmatic approach brought the party closer to Arab nationalism than to socialism.⁴² The party took part in popular unrest during the bread crisis and presented itself a nationalist organization that defended the poor. Furthermore, the party was tactically pragmatic in its first alliances. Due to the absence of a Syrian working class, Bakdash strengthened relationships with al-Quwatli and other bourgeois leaders of the National Bloc to influence their decisions. He also reinforced ties with and received support from the French Communist Party. The Syrian Communist Party received a decisive blow when the Soviet Union voted at the United Nations in favour of establishing a Jewish state on November 29, 1947 and recognized the State of Israel in May 1948. This was unjustifiable to the Syrian people who, at the onset of the first Arab-Israeli war, accused the party of supporting Soviet partition policy. The party was subsequently dissolved in January 1949 and driven underground following Husni al-Za'im's coup d'état in March 1949.⁴³

1.2.5. The Muslim Brotherhood

The structural changes in Syria during the interwar years led to the creation of a rift in urban popular neighbourhoods. Traditional merchants and craftsmen suffered the brunt of trade expansion with Europe, while workers suffered from poverty due to high inflation levels in the late 1930s. Moreover, drought and accumulated debts to landowners led to the displacement of farmers, who moved to cities in pursuit of work. They all looked to the national leadership for help who, in turn, ignored their problems and focused its efforts to build modern state institutions. According to Philip Khoury, this paved the way for the emergence of a radical political stream that challenged national leadership and called for a revolutionary response to the 'social question'. As the gap widened between traditional and modern sectors of society, popular classes became drawn to religious leaders and institutions that spoke a language they understood. ⁴⁴ Thereafter, Islamic associations began to emerge with an aim of meeting this class's various needs.

These associations began as religious charities during the French mandate. They were urban associations and most of their founders belonged to middle-class scholars, educators, doctors, lawyers, and judges, with some coming from middle-class merchant backgrounds.⁴⁵

During a conference held in Aleppo, the *Shabab Muhammad* and *Dar al-Shuban al-Muslimeen* societies were reorganized and merged to form the Muslim Brotherhood. A high central committee with a permanent office in Damascus was

established, and Mustafa al-Siba'i (1915-1964)⁴⁶ was elected general observer of the Brotherhood in Syria and Lebanon.

The Muslim Brotherhood garnered support among students because it had an active presence in education. The Brotherhood established private and religious schools, libraries, scientific missions, and scouts.⁴⁷ Furthermore, they extended their influence over trade unions and craftsmen through worker committees that engaged in education, combating illiteracy, and organizing cultural events on Islam and Arabism.⁴⁸

The expansion of the Brotherhood was limited to major Syrian cities and adjacent towns and villages. Due to differing sectarian and ideological compositions, it failed to garner significant support among army ranks. The Brotherhood was restricted to Sunni Arabs, while the army included diverse sects and ethnicities. Moreover, the military was purged of Brotherhood supporters. The Muslim Brotherhood represented class interests of the lower middle classes and reflected their popular disposition.

Table 2 illustrates the social, cultural, and political composition of prominent leaders and founders of emerging political parties.

Table 2: The social, cultural and political composition of the emerging ideological elite.⁴⁹

Name	Social Composition	Cultural Composition	

	Family and Class	Religion and Nationality	Education	Profession	Interests	Political Affiliations
Abd al-Razzaq	Landowners; al-	Sunni	Al-Wataniyyah	Lawyer.	Literature;	Founding
al-Dandashi	Dandashi clan	Muslim	al-Ahliyyah		poetry; music.	member and first
(1902-1935)	from Talkalakh.	Arab.	College in Homs;			Secretary of the
			Faculty of Law in			League of
			Brussels.			Nationalist
						Action.
Sabri al-Asali	Large	Sunni	Damascus	Lawyer;	Politics.	League of
(1903-1976)	landowning	Muslim	University -	member of		Nationalist
	bureaucrats from	Arab.	Faculty of Law.	parliament;		Action; National
	Damascus.			minister;		Bloc; National
				prime		Party.
				minister.		
Zaki al-Arsuzi	Medium-size	Alawite.	Antioch schools;	School	Literature;	League of
(1900-1968)	landowners; his		he studied	teacher;	poetry;	Nationalist
	father was a		philosophy at the	political	philosophy;	Action; theorist
	lawyer.		Sorbonne in	theorist.	history;	of the Arab
			Paris.		mathematics.	Ba'ath.

Michel Aflaq	Commercial	Christian.	Orthodox schools	School	Literature;	Arab Ba'ath
(1910-1989)	upper middle		in Damascus;	teacher;	poetry; writing.	Party.
	class; his father		Faculty of Law,	political		
	was a grain		University of	theorist;		
	merchant in al-		Damascus; he	minister.		
	Midan		studied history,			
	neighbourhood in		literature and			
	Damascus.		comparative			
			theology at the			
			Sorbonne in			
			Paris.			
Salah al-Din al-	Religious and	Sunni	Damascus	School	Writing.	Arab Ba'ath
Bitar	commercial	Muslim.	schools; he	teacher;		Party.
(1912-1980)	upper middle		studied physics at	minister;		
	class; family of		the Sorbonne in	prime		
	sheikhs and		Paris.	minister.		
	jurists from					
	Damascus; his					
	father was a grain					
	merchant and					
	owned a farm.					

Inlat at Carried	I Immon middle	Sunni	Elementers and	Landowner;	Writing; public	League of
Jalal al-Sayyid	Upper middle		Elementary and			
(1914-1992)	class landowners;	Muslim.	preparatory	member of	speaking.	Nationalist
	his father was a		education at the	parliament.		Action; Arab
	judge and head of		Royal School in			Ba'ath Party.
	a branch of the		Deir al-Zur;			
	Khershan clan in		secondary			
	Deir al-Zur.		education in			
			Aleppo; he did			
			not pursue higher			
			education.			
Wahib al-	Religious middle	Alawite.	Antioch schools;	Doctor;	Literature;	Arab Ba'ath
Ghanim	class; his father		Faculty of	member of	writing.	Party.
(1919-2003)	was a religious		Medicine,	parliament;		
	figure and school		Damascus	minister.		
	principal.		University.			
Akram al-	Agricultural	Sunni	Hama schools;	Lawyer;	Literature;	Syrian Social
Hourani	upper middle	Muslim.	School House	minister;	poetry; history.	Nationalist Party;
(1911-1996)	class; family of		Science and	member of		Youth Party;
	Rifa'i Sufists		Education;	parliament;		Arab Socialist
	from Hama; his		Maktab Anbar;	parliamentary		Party.
	father was an		Faculty of Law,	leader.		
	intellectual and		Damascus			
	religious figure.		University.			

Antoun Saadeh	Educated middle	Christian	Shweir schools in	Political	Reading;	Secret societies;
(1904-1949)	class; his father	Orthodox.	Lebanon; al-	theorist.	writing;	Syrian Social
	was a doctor,		Ghurair Institute		languages.	Nationalist Party.
	writer and		in Cairo;			
	patriotic fighter.		Brummana High			
			School in Mount			
			Lebanon; he did			
			not pursue higher			
			education.			
Khalid Bakdash	Middle class; his	Sunni	Damascus	Employee;	Literature;	Syrian
(1912-1995)	family was from	Muslim	schools; Maktab	journalist;	languages;	Communist
	the Kurdish	Kurd.	Anbar; he did not	political	writing.	Party.
	neighbourhood in		complete his	theorist;		
	Damascus. His		studies at the	Secretary of		
	father was an		Faculty of Law,	the Syrian-		
	Ottoman		Damascus	Lebanese		
	employee who		University;	Communist		
	served in the		Communist	Party;		
	Ottoman Army,		University of the	member of		
	and later in the		Toilers of the	parliament.		
	Arab Army under		East in Moscow.			
	King Faisal.					

Mustafa al-	Religious upper	Sunni	Secondary	School	Writing;	Islamic secret
Siba'i	middle class; his	Muslim	education in	teacher;	literature;	societies; Shabab
(1925-1964)	father was an	Arab.	Homs schools;	university	journalism.	Muhammad
	imam in a		doctorate from	professor;		Association; The
	mosque in Homs.		the Faculty of	editor in		Muslim
			Shari'a and Law	chief;		Brotherhood.
			at al-Azhar	member of		
			University.	parliament;		
				general		
				observer of		
				the Muslim		
				Brotherhood		
				in Syria.		
Omar Bahaa al-	Religious upper	Sunni	Faculty of Law,	Minister;	Journalism;	Islamic secret
Din al-Amiri	middle class;	Muslim	Damascus	ambassador;	writing; poetry.	societies; Dar al-
(1916-1992)	local notables;	Arab.	University; he	university		Arqam
	his father was a		studied literature	professor;		Association;
	member of the		and history in	deputy		Shabab
	Aleppo Council		Paris.	general		Muhammad
	under the			observer of		Centre in Paris;
	Ottomans.			the Muslim		The Muslim
				Brotherhood		Brotherhood.
				in Syria.		

Mustafa al-	Religious middle	Sunni	Khusruwiya	University	Writing;	The Muslim
Zarqa	class; his father	Muslim	Shari'a School in	professor;	reading.	Brotherhood.
(1904-1999)	was a jurist and	Arab.	Aleppo; doctorate	member of		
	religious scholar.		from the Faculty	parliament;		
			of Law at al-	minister.		
			Azhar University.			

2. Military Elite

Two generations of military elite played pivotal roles in Syrian political life between 1946-1963. The first generation formed within military frameworks established by France, then joined nationalist forces after the French attacked the Syrian Parliament on May 29, 1945, and dropped bombs on Damascus. These soldiers formed the core of the armed forces, and became part of the national military institution after independence. Some even managed to seize power following a series of military coups between 1949 and 1954 that overthrew civilian rule. The second generation, on the other hand, appeared after independence within the emergent national military establishment. Leftist and nationalist ideologies spread among them, and their susceptibility to politicisation increased following the 1948 Palestine War and military coups. Loyalties of this generation were divided among the dominant ideologies in the political sphere. The various factions within the military thus became a reflection of political factions. After 1955, second generation

officers sought alliances with the emerging ideological elite that would overturn the socioeconomic order to weaken the power of the traditional elite. Their power and influence in the military and in politics became apparent during and after the union with Egypt. Ba'athists allied with Nasserist officers in the 'Military Committee', and then with independent civilians in the coup of March 8, 1963 to resolve the political and military conflict thereby seizing power.

2.1. First Generation (Pre-Independence)

When French forces evacuated Syria, they left the country with an army that was structurally built to serve French interests and hinder the formation of a national state capable of defending itself. The core of the Syrian Army developed from the Special Troops of the Levant (*Troupes Speciales du Levant*), after the French agreed to handover the armed forces and the gendarmerie on August 12, 1945.⁵⁰ The Special Troops were comprised of volunteers from religious and ethnic minorities with a French leadership that was maintained until the end of the mandate.⁵¹ The army was structured as such for two reasons. The French preferred to recruit people from religious minorities and clans distant from the capital, assuming they would not be influenced by sentiments of Arab nationalism. Moreover, the French would ensure their loyalties and use them as a tool of incitement in

accordance with colonial French minority-policy.⁵² The second reason related to high unemployment rates in mountainous regions and areas far from urban centres. This drove people to enrol in military academies and pursue a military career or seek public employment in order to obtain steady income and escape poverty and poor education. By joining the ranks of these forces these youths were more open to the modern world, learned order and discipline, acquired new ideas, and became familiar with the administration of a modern state, such as France. Some even learned to speak French. This stood in contrast to the attitudes of the upper-class landowning bureaucrats and urban middle classes who despised the military and refused to join under a colonial authority. Kamal Deeb asserts that this was a "historical mistake"⁵³ committed by urban Sunni commercial families and rural landowners.

Members of this first generation of military elite may have belonged to families of local notables that owned land and worked in agriculture or had prominent religious or social positions. However, their eminence was limited and non-material. Their land and livestock did not provide for a life of luxury or financial well-being, and money was rather short and infrequent.⁵⁴ For this generation, joining the 'Army of the Levant' or the Military Academy in Homs during the mandate was not an issue. They were educated in French institutions or in

local schools that were under the control of the French mandate and subject to its educational curricula. Some of them received an Ottoman education first, then continued their education under the French system. A considerable part of this elite was educated in schools of sectarian sub-states established by the French mandate, with each sub-state having its own unique curriculum. Table 3 provides an overview of the social, cultural, and political composition of selected members of the first generation of military elite.

Table 3: The social, cultural and political composition of the first generation of military elite.⁵⁵

	Social Com	nposition	Cultural C	Composition	Political
Name	Family and	Religion and	Schools	Education and	Affiliations
	Class	Ethnicity	Schools	Career	Affiliations
Husni al-	Middle-class	Sunni	Aleppo schools.	Ottoman Army;	He did not
Za'im	merchant	Muslim		Arab Army under	belong to any
(1889-1949)	family.	Kurd.		King Faisal;	political party
				Military	or ideology;
				Academy in	secular.
				Homs during the	
				French mandate;	
				Officer in the	
				Syrian Arab	
				Army.	
Sami al-	Middle-class.	Sunni	Aleppo schools.	The Teachers	He was an old
Hinnawi		Muslim		School in	ally of the
1896-1950)		Kurd.		Aleppo; Ottoman	People's Party.
				Army; Arab	He was an ally
				Army under King	of the
				Faisal; Military	Hashemite
				Academy in	family in
				Damascus during	Baghdad, and
				the French	believed in

				mandate; Special Troops of the Levant; Officer in the Syrian Arab Army.	unification with Iraq.
Adib al-	Agricultural	Sunni	Hama schools;	Military	Syrian Social
Shishakli	family;	Muslim	Salamiyyah	Academy in	Nationalist
(1909-1964)	landowners;	Arab of	Agricultural	Homs during the	Party; Arab
	local influence	Turkmen	College.	French mandate;	Liberation
	and prestige.	(paternal)		officer in the	Movement.
		and Kurdish		Syrian Arab	
		(maternal)		Army.	
		origin.			
Fadlallah Abu	Middle-class	Druze Arab.	Druze Sub-State	He fought	Syrian Social
Mansur	agricultural		schools.	alongside the	Nationalist
(1913-?)	family; fighting			Vichy armed	Party.
	peasants.			forces against the	
				forces of De	
				Gaulle. He	
				fought for the	
				Allies. Military	
				Academy in	

Abdul Karim Zahreddine (1917-2009)	Agricultural family; local notables; fighting peasants.	Druze Arab.	Druze Sub-State schools.	Homs during the French mandate; officer in the Syrian Arab Army. Army of the Levant; Military Academy in Homs during the French mandate; officer in the	He advocated for the separation of the military and politics.
				Syrian Arab Army.	
Amin Abu	Agricultural	Druze Arab.	Druze Sub-State	Military	He advocated
Assaf	family; local		schools.	Academy in	for the
(1909-2005)	notables;			Homs during the	separation of
	educational			French mandate;	the military and
	interests;			officer in the	politics; entered
	fighting			Syrian Arab	politics during
	peasants.			Army.	the union with
					Egypt; member
					of the National
					Union for the
					Northern

					Region committee.
Mohammad	Agricultural	Alawite	Jableh District	Military	He was initially
Maarouf	family; local	Arab.	schools; high	Academy in	a supporter of
(1921-2009)	religious		school at the	Homs during the	al-Shishakli,
	notables;		National	French mandate.	then took part
	landowners.		College in		in the al-
			Baniyas.		Hinnawi coup.
					He was a
					supporter of
					unification with
					Iraq. He was an
					ally to the
					Syrian Social
					Nationalist
					Party.

2.2. Second Generation (Post Independence)

Following independence and the French handover of the army and the gendarmerie forces, the national government implemented a series of extensive reforms. These included dissolving sectarian and ethnic military units left by the French mandate, improving financial support, and recruiting more soldiers in order to create a comprehensive national army. The government thereby regulated the armed forces, established the General Staff, military schools and academies, introduced compulsory service in 1948, and transformed the French Military Academy in Homs into a national military academy for the training of officers.

The second generation of military elites included officers who graduated from the Military Academy in Homs after independence.⁵⁶ They were militarily and intellectually moulded in national educational institutions and were ideologically informed.⁵⁷ They joined various ideological political parties. This led to the formation of rival ideological groups and factionalism in the military establishment.

Many changes in structure and composition distinguish this generation, which were in large part due to the annual increase in the number of army recruits. This is attributed to the large increase in the number of schools accessible to the lower classes in hundreds of villages and small cities. In the 1950s and 1960s,

army recruits numbered in the hundreds, with most coming from lower- and middle-class rural families. This trend indirectly led to an increase in the number of minority officers and former peasants.⁵⁸ Hanna Batatu explains that, "... on the level of the officer corps the Alawis, contrary to a widespread impression, were not as important numerically as the Sunnis prior to 1963. They derived much of their real strength from the lower ranks of the army. In an arithmetical sense, they had a plurality among the common soldiers and a clear preponderance among the noncommissioned officers." This situation lasted until 1955.⁵⁹ Before the Ba'ath seized power in 1963, the most prominent military groups that held power and influence had been under the leadership of Sunni officers. 60 A further explanation for the superior numbers of rural minorities among rank-and-file draftees, is the matter of the badal or 'financial substitute'. Before 1964, Syrians were permitted to buy exemption from military service for 500 Syrian pounds. 61 In the 1950s and 1960s, urban Sunnis, even those from humble backgrounds, could afford the required sum to avoid one-and-a-half to two years of compulsory service. However, for peasants, especially Alawites, 500 pounds represented the value of several seasons of arduous labour. Not to mention, peasants were seldom free from debt.⁶²

After defeat in the Palestine War of 1948, the army's resentment towards the ruling political elite increased.

Moreover, recurring coups and the spread of political awareness among the military, due to the expanding influence of emerging ideological parties within the ranks, led to the army's reconfiguration. After al-Shishakli was deposed in the mid-1950s, a younger, more politicized and radical generation of officers emerged, with the left-wing becoming dominant in both politics and the military. In early 1955, the army divided into several factions including the Ba'ath faction, the left-wing faction influenced by the Communist Party, Shishakli, the pro-Iraq faction, the Syrian Nationalist Party faction, and the Damascene 'Shwam' officers faction that attracted independent officers, and conservative officers influenced by the Muslim Brotherhood. Independent 'professional' officers were also present. However, after the assassination of independent Damascene officer and Ba'ath sympathizer, Colonel Adnan al-Maliki, in April 1955, the Social Nationalist Party faction, led by Ghassan Jadid, was purged from the army. The Damascene officers faction had lost its most important leader, Colonel al-Maliki. Consequently, the army became polarized between two major blocs: The Socialist bloc, which included the prominent al-Hourani, and the Liberation 'Tahrir' bloc, which included officers of the Shishakli faction. Escalation of conflict between these two blocs in the mid-1950s threatened a schism in the Syrian army in the midst of disintegration among Syrian political and social elites.⁶³

After unification with Egypt, the Damascene officers' faction survived the wave of dissolutions that had swept military factions because it had distanced itself from ideological partisanship. This also gave Abdel Nasser reason to rely on the Damascene officers' faction after relieving partisan officers from their positions of power. On September 28, 1961, a group of officers left the faction and joined Abd al-Karim al-Nahlawi in taking control of Damascus and proclaiming the secession of Syria from the United Arab Republic. They cited a lack of fair practices and sharp inequality between the two countries. After the dissolution, the army and politicians were divided between those loyal to Nasser and unification, and those who were in opposition. The Damascene officers' faction was further weakened following a failed coup attempt that took place between March 28 and April 1, 1962. On March 8, 1963, the Ba'ath faction's Military Committee allied with the Nasserist faction and independent officers, led by Ziad al-Hariri, to seize power. The following chapters will examine these factions and their relationships with each other and with other political parties.

The Military Committee is one of the most prominent groups in the history of the Syrian Army. It is important in terms of its composition, alliances, strategies, and its role and influence on the political history of Syria. This group was formed in secrecy in Egypt towards the end of 1959, during the union. The Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party had announced its dissolution on February 23, 1958, the day after the declaration of unity with Egypt, in accordance with Nasser's conditions. This angered many Ba'athist officers. Daniel Pipes argues that the Military Committee was thus innately rebellious against unity with Egypt and against the traditional leadership that approved party dissolution in return for personal gain and limited positions. ⁶⁴

The Military Committee initially consisted of five officers, then grew to include fifteen members. Most of them came for rural middle classes or poor urban neighbourhoods. All of these officers attended public schools with an Arabised curriculum post-independence. Consequently, they acquired an Arab national identity and were not influenced by Western culture nor spoke foreign languages. They all graduated from the Military Academy in Homs after independence and joined the Ba'ath Party.

After coming to power, this group of young officers turned the page on democracy and pluralism in Syria. They established a new system where the army and the party coexisted and formed alliances,⁶⁵ and the state took on a new form which combined sectarianism and military rule with Leninist political

organization to implement the "revolution from above". 66 For the structure and composition of the Military Committee officers, see Table 4.

Table 4: The social, cultural and political composition of the Military Committee officers. 67

	Social Compo	osition	Cultural	Composition	
Name		Religion			Political
Name	Family and Class	and	Schools	Education and Career	Affiliations
		Ethnicity			
Salah Jadid	Rural notables;	Alawite	Local public	He discontinued his	Syrian Social
(1926-1993)	middle size	Arab.	schools under	studies at Damascus	Nationalist Party;
	landowners;		the French	University, Faculty	Arab Socialist
	bureaucratic		mandate; public	of Medicine; Military	Ba'ath Party; he
	family; his father		high school in	Academy in Homs	was the president
	was a local leader		Damascus.	(1951).	of the Military
	of the Hadadin				Committee from
	clan and a district				August 1962 to
	administrator				March 1963. He
	under the French				was a member of
	mandate.				the Ba'ath Party's
					military office
					from 1965-1968.

Muhammad	Rural religious	Alawite	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
Umran	notables; small	Arab.	schools under	Homs (1950).	Ba'ath Party; he
(1922-1972)	landowners; his		the French		was head of the
	father was a		mandate.		Military
	religious figure				Committee in
	from the Khayatin				August 1962 and
	clan.				March-June 1963.
Hafez al-	Village notables;	Alawite	Village schools	He attempted to	Arab Socialist
Assad	small landowners;	Arab.	founded by the	enroll at Saint Joseph	Ba'ath Party; he
(1930-2000)	his father was a		French; public	University, Faculty	was a member of
	peasant from the		schools in	of Medicine in	the Ba'ath Party's
	al-Mtawra clan,		Latakia.	Lebanon; Military	military office
	who became			Academy in Homs	from 1965-1970;
	leader of a			(1951); he graduated	Minister of
	neighbourhood.			from the Military	Defence 1966-
				Aviation Institute in	1972; President of
				Aleppo (1955).	the Syrian Arab
					Republic 1971-
					2000.
Abd al-Karim	Rural notables;	Sunni	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
al-Jundi	middle size	Arab of	schools under	Homs (1951)/	Ba'ath Party; head
(1932-1969)	landowners; his	Isma'ili	the French		of the National
	father was a	origin.	mandate/		Security Office at
	landowner and				the Regional

	wood merchant				Command 1966-
	for a while;				1969.
	prominent middle-				
	class family.				
Salim Hatum	Middle size	Druze	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
(1928-1967)	landowners; his	Arab.	schools under	Homs.	Ba'ath Party.
	father was a		the French		
	statistician and		mandate.		
	manager.				
Amin al-Hafiz	Lower class public	Sunni	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
(1921-2009)	bureaucrats; his	Arab.	schools under	Homs (1948).	Ba'ath Party; he
	father was a		the French		symbolically
	policeman; poor		mandate.		headed the Military
	family from the				Committee from
	al-Bayada				June 1963 to
	neighbourhood in				August 1965. He
	Aleppo.				was a member of
					the Ba'ath Party's
					military office
					from 1965-1966.

Mazyad	Lower class rural	Druze	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
Hunaydi	notables; small	Arab.	schools under	Homs.	Ba'ath Party; head
(1921-1983)	landowners; his		the French		of the Military
	father was a local		mandate.		Committee 1959-
	notable of the				1960.
	Hunaydi clan;				
	fighting peasants.				
Uthman	Small landowners;	Sunni	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
Kanaan	his father was a	Arab.	schools under	Homs.	Ba'ath Party.
(1928-?)	farmer.		the French		
			mandate.		
Ahmad al-	His grandfather	Isma'ili	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
Meer	was Prince	Arab.	schools under	Homs.	Ba'ath Party.
(1922-2007)	Mahmoud, leader		the French		
	of the Masyaf		mandate.		
	Castle under the				
	Ottomans. His				
	father was Prince				
	Melhem, who was				
	struck by poverty				
	after being				
	removed from his				
	post by the French				
	in 1920.				

Hamad Abed	Rural notables;	Druze	Local public	Military Academy in	Arab Socialist
(1928-2005)	middle size	Arab.	schools under	Homs.	Ba'ath Party;
	landowners; his		the French		Minister of
	father was		mandate.		Defence from
	martyred in the				September to
	Great Syrian				December 1965;
	Revolt (1925-				member of the
	1927); fighting				Ba'ath Party's
	peasants.				military office
					1965.

3. Alliances, Factions and the Crisis of Political Instability

3.1. Struggle Over Legitimacy and the Social Question

The traditional ruling elite broke into factions after Syria's independence in 1946. While they had banded together against foreign French rule, they became locked in a state of political and social competition over the distribution of national wealth and defining political, social and economic orientations of the newly independent state. This permitted the emergence of a new political and military elite. They were mainly descended from urban and rural middle and lower classes, who were liberated by independence and given strong social, educational and health services. The discourse and activities of this new elite were

based on criticizing the ruling elite's corruption and exploitation of state power to further their class and commercial interests.

In 1944, opposition-led parliamentary debates led to the formation of a governmental investigation committee, rather than a parliamentary committee as had been requested by Akram Al-Hourani, to investigate allegations of corruption in the Ministry of Supply. The aim was to expose the corruption of the feudal and capitalist classes, which included members of Parliament, and those with interests linked to the political class. The outcome was the dismissal of the Minister of Supply, Mazhar Raslan, and the resignation of the al-Jabiri government. Al-Daher Raslan al-Daher led to a change of government. Al-Daher was a prominent textile and silk merchant from Aleppo who served as a member of Parliament from independence until Husni al-Za'im's coup. 69

The ruling elite avoided making socio-economic changes, particularly those that would affect agriculture. Since the ruling class was mostly composed of landowners, major families, notables and merchants they were eager to preserve their social status and power. The agrarian question remained a controversial issue after independence. According to estimates made by Samir Makdisi, 82 percent of the rural population before 1958 did not own agricultural land, or owned land with an area less than 10

hectares. In contrast, a very limited group of agricultural landowners (2.5 percent) owned 45 percent of artificially irrigated lands and 30 percent of naturally irrigated lands.⁷⁰ There were no major developments in agricultural land ownership in the years following independence beyond some growth in medium-scale agricultural land ownership and the consolidation of land ownership in a "modern" real estate registry.⁷¹ This was despite the economic growth that occurred following an increase in cultivated areas thanks to the mechanization of agriculture and the use of modern water pumps. Such developments required major funding from the urban bourgeoisie, who therefore became at the centre of agricultural activity and the main beneficiaries of this sector.

The structure of the urban ruling class and its policies to secure its position established the city's control over the countryside. They demanded that the state provide health and transportation services to their regions and jettisoned all political discussions on ownership. Urban political control over legislation became evident when Parliament issued a labour law in 1946 which did not refer to agricultural workers at all, even though they constituted the overwhelming majority of workers. In 1957, when some progressive members of parliament submitted a bill prohibiting landlords from evicting peasants from their homes, only 36 of the 144 members of parliament

voted in favour of the bill. However, the one-article law was adopted on March 4, 1957 because the majority opted for absenteeism instead of voting against the bill for fear of backlash.⁷²

The agrarian (peasant) issue in Syria remained problematic given the fact that a large portion of the ruling political class were urban agricultural landowners who owned part of the countryside surrounding the cities in which they resided. These landowners were either feudal political figures of Turkish origin, as in Hama, or city merchants who turned to invest in industrial agriculture, especially cotton, as in Aleppo and the Jazira region. Therefore, there was a clear class aspect to the relationship between landowners and peasants, in addition to differences in tribal and local loyalties. 73 In the 1950s, Syria witnessed severe contention between the middle classes (representing the peasants) and major landowners who disagreed over the solution to the peasant problem. Middle class representatives, including members of the Arab Ba'ath Party, the Arab Socialist Party (al-Hourani) and the Muslim Brotherhood, saw that the only solution to this problem was socialist agrarian reform. Landowners were able to use their positions to protect their ownerships only until the union with Egypt, after which agricultural land ceiling laws were enacted and nationalization projects were initiated.

Also contributing to the decline of the traditional elite and the rise of the ideological and military elites was the public's deep rejection of the Syrian state that was drawn up in the Sykes-Picot Agreement, its amendments in San Remo, and the fixing of geopolitical borders in the Treaty of Lausanne. Moreover, the people rejected British policy that supported the establishment of the State of Israel in Palestine. The people disapproved of the way nationalists approached the issue of Palestine. During the Arab revolt in Palestine in the late 1930s, the National Bloc government provided cautious support. While the Palestinian cause was the most popular cause in Syria at the time, the government was wary of jeopardizing negotiations with Paris towards an agreement on independence. Later, during the 1948 Palestine War, the national government failed to provide adequate supplies for the war effort, neither did it seek greater Arab coordination, nor did it adequately prepare the Syrian army for combat.74

The defeat in the 1948 Palestine War sparked dispute over whether the ruling class or military negligence were responsible for the defeat. Opposition parties and the army launched a campaign against the government, blaming it for losing the war and holding it accountable.⁷⁵ In particular, Defence Minister Ahmad al-Sharabati⁷⁶ was accused of failing to arm the military, fragmenting it into competing factions, and reducing its numbers

through discharges. He was also accused of involvement in politics and interference in the elections. Conversely, during parliamentary discussions in March 1949 on the causes of defeat, Faisal al-Asali, leader of the Syrian Social Cooperative Party and ally to President Shukri al-Quwatli, demanded that army commander, Husni al-Za'im, be tried for treason and conspiring with King Abdullah. The government subsequently charged al-Za'im with corruption, bribery and misconduct over defective weapons and rotten army provisions.⁷⁷ Officer Antoun al-Bustani was also accused of illicit enrichment because of his role in sending rotten food supplies to the army, including spoiled margarine. Prosecution of the army leader caused anger among the officers who considered these accusations to be an encroachment on the military establishment. This prompted a group of officers, led by Husni Al-Za'im, to send a letter of protest⁷⁸ to the President calling for al-Asali to be arrested and tried for insulting the army. This was immediately followed by Husni al-Za'im's coup on March 30, 1949.

Anger towards the traditional ruling elite was prevalent in the army. They believed that for a confrontation with Israel to be successful it was necessary to eradicate the ruling elite, change social and economic conditions, eliminate feudalism, and tackle corruption. Akram al-Hourani adopted this viewpoint and so did many young low-ranking officers. The legitimacy of the ruling

elite, their corruption and approaches to foreign issues and the social question were points of convergence between the military and the emerging ideological parties. Some party leaders, such as al-Hourani and Khalid al-Azm, defended the army and advocated for increased support and armament. Al-Azm even played an important role in closing arms deals. Moreover, networks of alliances and patronages formulated between ruling political parties and the military, which facilitated cooperation whenever interests converged. Al-Azm described this militarypolitical convergence as "dual power". 79 He attributed its beginnings to Sami al-Hinnawi's coup, where al-Atassi, al-Kikhya, al-Qudsi, and al-Hourani approved al-Hinnawi's appointment of al-Atassi as prime minister. This made it clear that the government no longer made decisions without first consulting with the military. Gordon H. Torrey also refers to the dual power that emerged following al-Hinnawi's coup, where factions emerged between politicians and military officers aspiring to rule. Division and competition led to the duality of power in government which, in turn, became a point of conflict as neither politicians nor the military had full control. This also led to diminishing loyalties between ambitious members of both groups.80

Just as the traditional ruling elite had sought cooperation with the military when their interests converged, so did the emerging ideological parties, who had loyal groups and factions within the military establishment. In their beginnings, these coups were also supported by party and local leaders as well as the people. A new class of capitalist elites cooperated with the military, such as Aleppian businessman Muhammad Said al-Za'im who provided support for Adib al-Shishakli and was subsequently appointed Minister of Finance.

Conversely, the politicization of some officers stemmed from their belief in the propositions of ideological parties that expressed common class and political interests. However, they were soon disillusioned and disappointed by squabbling party leaders. Such was the experience of Muhammad Umran with the Ba'ath Party. He recounts the reasons for his and his fellow officers' involvement with the Ba'ath after graduating from the Military Academy in 1947, "The Ba'ath, at that time, was a movement that aroused a sense of hope among young people and was the practical embodiment of the aspirations of the new generation towards progress, after the traditional political parties had failed to realise the aspirations of this generation...For us, the Ba'ath represented not only hope, but also an expression of what we were looking for with our unbridled nationalist sentiments and our sense of social injustice perpetuated by the classes controlling the country."81 He also explains how the military believed that supporting civilian party leaders would

hasten the achievement of social and economic party goals, strengthen resistance against colonial projects, and bring about an era of Arab unity. However, he goes on to underscore the disappointment of the military in party leaders' contradictory ideas, bad political choices, and the prioritization of their own interests to rule. To illustrate, he describes the disappointment in Ba'ath officers who participated in the coup against al-Shishakli in 1954, "The military expected the party to come to power immediately after the coup. However, after trusting party political leaders to turn the coup in favour of liberal and democratic party ideals, we were shocked by the repudiation of all our expectations during the Homs Conference." Political party leaders met in Homs to discuss the sharing of power, after which traditional parties resumed power.

The military demanded that political elites establish a functional state apparatus, deprioritize their party interests, and adhere to the republican system. After al-Hinnawi's coup and al-Shishakli's first coup, power was left to the politicians, while the military ruled from behind the scenes. However, party and ideological conflicts and ministerial crises prompted the military to stage further coups.

The military saw itself as the only national institution that represented all segments of society and presented itself as a champion of the nation's unity and its goals. Consequently, the military believed it their right to play a political role in the country as the protectors of national security and independence. The military was able to launch a series of coups because of the failure of civilian political authority to contain the military establishment, failure to neutralize it from interfering with politics, and the failure to approach it as one of the state institutions subject to the existing political system. It also launched the coups under the pretence of defending the republican system and saving the country from catastrophic party conflict. It took advantage of political instability caused by external regional factors and internal political factionalism. The strongman government therefore replaced a government of competing parties.

The coups of the 1950s and 1960s were represented under the light of revolutionary movements. The old regimes had been removed, and the economic bases of social classes that had supported their rule were destroyed following policies of agrarian reform and nationalization. Thus, a new type of society developed.⁸³ After the 1960s, marginalized groups had the opportunity to break out of the existing semi-democratic system. Urbanisation and the expansion of health and educational services after independence enabled the children of these groups to enter schools and military academies and to join radical parties. They were thus given the chance to participate in the

political game, and to acquire social status irrespective of their family, regional or tribal origins.

Members of the Military Committee that participated in the 1963 coup and took power after excluding their allies, had rural beginnings and shared similar rural orientations. The majority were medium and small size farmers, and a few belonged to merchant and industrialist classes. The latter were allocated to foreign diplomatic missions. 84 The structure and composition of Military Committee officers impacted Syrian society. Unlike previous years, the peasant class began to expand its influence and rise economically and socially, until it became of equal standing with urban classes, and even asserting control over them in later stages. 85 This socio-economic policy strengthened villagers to become economically self-sufficient and relatively independent from the cities. 86 Consequently, this group came to control state bureaucracy. Batatu remarks that, "Members of this class brought their relatives, acquaintances, and members of their sects to attain employment in state institutions, and thus the rural population (Houran, Hama, Latakia mountains and Idlib) became the most represented segment in state bureaucracy."87 This contributed to a population increase in main cities, especially Damascus, following rural-urban migrations. Batatu attributes this to compulsory and professional military service, and the concentration of state agencies and their expanding

cadres in Damascus. These new circumstances arising after 1963 prompted the expansion of rural influence and authority over the city, after rural classes had been marginalized and subject to the control of urban leaders and notables prior to and after independence.

The transfer of power from the city to the countryside took place under the banner of socialism, which was adopted by the ruling military elite in 1963 and was the basis for their policies. However, this later resulted in the concentration of wealth in the hands of an oligarchy, and a pattern of wealth distribution that depended on kinship and loyalty to the regime. It also reflected the composition of the military elite and its desire for social ascendancy and access to power.

3.2. Clannism, Chieftaincy, and Interests as the Core of Alliances

The National Bloc benefitted from the two-round electoral system (ballotage)⁸⁸ that was adopted in 1943 to attain power. It invested in patronage networks within neighbourhoods and among peasants to guarantee electoral success for its representatives. The two-tier voting system was based on the so-called "secondary voters" to elect "primary voters", then those primary voters would elect members of parliament.⁸⁹ This electoral system reinforced regionalism and sectarianism, and

helped families dominate political life. This is primarily due to the fact that this system restricted the right to vote to Syrian civilian males over the age of twenty, while granting special seats for minority sects and Bedouins. Bedouin seats are generally not held by elections but are chosen by the tribal sheikhs. Second, the election of primary voters was conducted in smaller districts, that is, the village or neighbourhood. In effect, primary voters did not form an absolute majority to secure the election of members of parliament. They often received sums of money from candidates and were subjected to pressures from large landowners in the countryside and other prominent figures. Furthermore, as primary voters were free to vote and not limited by the wishes of their secondary voters, the influence of the general Syrian population on the Syrian electorate was small and limited.

Therefore, the opposition led by Akram al-Hourani demanded that the election law be changed to direct elections, arguing that indirect elections disproportionately served the interests of the feudal class over those of peasants, 92 and that secondary voters were few in number and easy to influence. These demands were accompanied by demonstrations and student protests that broke out in mid-April 1947, during which a civil strike took place in most major cities including Damascus, Homs, Hama, and Aleppo. A number of trade unions also participated in the strike,

as well as the General Union of Syrian Women which demanded granting women the right to vote. 93 On the other hand, the National Bloc rejected any change in the electoral system in order to guarantee its seats. However, under increasing popular pressure, parliament approved a new election law providing for direct elections. After the election law was amended in 1947, al-Hourani no longer needed to ally with the National Party, as he had done in 1943, to win the election. He therefore directed his efforts towards establishing a strong electoral base among the peasants.

On the other hand, rivalry and competition among the traditional elite in the 1947 elections prompted a realignment of alliances. Al-Quwatli had failed to secure al-Jabiri's support to renew his presidency for a second term, had lost support of al-Gharaa Association and had fallen out with sheikhs and the Muslim Brotherhood. Moreover, al-Quwatli projected the advancement of the religious scholars' ballot in the elections. This prompted him to side with landowners and seek the support of Jamil Mardam, promising him the office of prime minister. Jamil Mardam, in turn, enlisted the help of the military through Minister of Defence, Ahmad al-Sharabati. In an effort to subvert the ascension of Islamists, al-Sharabati rigged the 1947 elections, using the military in civilian attire 94 and army vehicles to transport voters.

The ruling elite was not alone in investing in local affiliations and family and regional clannism to further political interests and expand their influence. At a time when Sunni families dominated traditional party leaderships, such as the National Party and the People's Party, members of minority families either joined the army or progressive parties. They were influenced by the army's and progressive parties' appealing ideas, adoption of the peasant issue and alignment with their class interests. This led to the emergence of politically and militarily powerful families, whose members were dispersed across politics and the military and allied together whenever necessary. Such families include the Druze al-Atrash family, 95 the Alawite Jadid family, 96 and the Christian al-Kallas family. This phenomenon was also present among Sunni families, including the al-Atassi family.

The military elite, especially the first generation, tried to establish a chieftaincy centred on the authority of the military establishment. Since members of the military elite were neither descended from social nor religious leaders, they adopted a discourse that replaced family, class, clan and sect loyalties with loyalty to the military institution. The army thus became a source of influence and *asabiyya* (clannism) that they safeguarded and used to impose their authority in public life. Young officers participated in military coups even though they knew that failure could destroy their military careers. However, they also knew

that success would allow them to move up the ranks and bring them closer to the centre of political decision-making.

Division and conflict among and within political parties at the time spread to the military establishment which, by extension, witnessed fragmentation and factionalism on the basis of politics, loyalties and local affiliations. Following al-Shishakli's rule, several factions based on such affiliations formed within the army. These included the al-Shishakli officers' faction, the al-Atassi officers faction, the Druze officers faction, the Christian officers faction, and the Damascene 'Shwam' officers faction, amongst others. These factions have been named in many texts and literary works of the time. The diverse affiliations of officers and soldiers in the army was rarely a source of balance and stability that safeguarded against military coups. Instead, these diverging affiliations often led to crises, and ultimately caused the formation of a system of military rule. 98

During the union with Egypt, al-Nahlawi, a Sunni Damascene, assumed a principal military position as Deputy Director of Officer Affairs. Through this central position, he was able to transfer officers that supported him to army units of political and strategic importance. However, this group of officers he had formed quickly collapsed during the secessionist period. This is partly attributed to al-Nahlawi's lack of support

from non-Damascenes. On March 28, 1962, he tried unsuccessfully to regain influence over the army and the government through a military coup. After his failed attempt, he was exiled with five of his most prominent Damascene officers. This led to a state of polarization among Syrian officers between Damascenes and non-Damascenes. 99 When the situation reached a stalemate that threatened violent confrontation, a military conference was held in Homs on April 1, 1962 in order to avoid bloodshed. The conference was attended by 41 representatives from all major military regions and units, which made it representative of the identity and common interests of the Syrian officer corps at the time. During the conference, Damascene Brigadier General Mouti' al-Samman asked the Secretary-General of the Ministry of Defence and the representative of the Military Command in Damascus to expel six non-Damascene officers from Syria, irrespective of their involvement, or lack thereof, in the events of March 28, 1962 as compensation for the expulsion of Al-Nahlawi and five of his Damascene officers. 100 However, after the attempted coup and the subsequent failed movement of January 13, 1963¹⁰¹, al-Nahlawi and his officers were exiled and Damascene officers in units charged with protecting the capital were transferred to distant units and replaced with officers from outside Damascus. In his memoirs,

Abdul Karim Zahreddine describes the new officers as "having nothing but hatred for Damascus and its people". 102

Until the early 1960s, factionalism in the military was based primarily on political grounds, while sectarian, local and regional loyalties played a secondary role. In the 1960s, however, features of sectarian and regional factionalism surfaced without adequate acknowledgement. According to Azmi Bishara, "for social, class, and partisan-political reasons, the number of Alawites, Druze and Ismailis was prominent in the Ba'ath Military Committee, while the number of Sunni officers was more prominent in the conservative Damascene officers and the Unionist/Nasserist officers' groups. There was also a considerable number of Christian officers who were in a quasialliance with the Damascene officers. As for independent officers, many of them leaned towards a certain faction with varying degrees of volatility and changing alliances. However, no faction leader presented himself (or his entire faction) on a sectarian basis, but on an ideological, political, or professional basis." 103 At the same time, familial, local and regional kinships, and *ukhwat al-dawra* (class comradery), ¹⁰⁴ began to play a role in the advent of sectarianism, factionalism and divisions.

Between July 1963 and February 1966, the Ba'ath was the sole ruling party, and conflicts shifted to the military and civilian groups within the Ba'ath. Escalation of conflict between the two

groups reinforced factionalism, assembly, and alignment processes, which employed regional, personal, and sectarian factors. ¹⁰⁵

Officers in command positions helped their relatives and those from their sects and regional communities to get into the military, naval and air force academies. 106 This contributed to the increase in the number of minorities in the Syrian officer corps over Sunni officers after the coup of March 8, 1963. Ba'athist military leaders who took part in the coup were quick to summon officers and non-commissioned officers with whom they had familial, tribal or regional ties in order to consolidate their new positions. 107 This method of recruitment was later described in Ba'ath Party internal documents as follows: "The difficult circumstances of the first revolution prompted the summoning of a large number of reserve soldiers (officers and noncommissioned officers) that were party members and loyalists. This was done in order to fill vacancies that arose following the purging of the opposition, and to consolidate and protect the revolution. The urgency to fill these gaps was accompanied by a non-objective and biased recruitment process based on friendships, kinships, and even mere acquaintances. This led to the infiltration of a number of unfamiliar people who did not share the party's ideology and logic. Soon after overcoming the

difficult stage in the revolution, this issue was used as a weapon to challenge and question the intentions of some comrades." ¹⁰⁸

3.3. Impact of Regional and International Conflict on Instability and Internal Divisions

After French evacuation in 1946, Syrian politics reflected conflict between Arab axes in two ways. Firstly, the National Bloc divided into the National Party, affiliated with the Saudi Arabia and Egypt axis, and the People's Party, which advocated for unity with Iraq. Secondly, the military was deployed by affiliates of both axes to serve their respective political interests.

Husni al-Za'im's coup served the interests of Saudi Arabia and Egypt, followed by those of France and the United States. Three weeks after his coup, al-Za'im signed the Syrian French monetary agreement in conjunction with a legislative decree. He issued another legislative decree on May 16, 1949 for the approval of the American Tapline Agreement. Conversely, he opposed Hashemite unionist plans, which caused relations between Syria and Iraq to deteriorate and al-Za'im to lose the support of many young unionist soldiers in the army. On the other hand, Britain used the federalist projects of Jordan and Iraq to pressure France, the United States, and the new regime in Damascus to sign an agreement with the Anglo-Iranian Company. According to al-Hourani, this explains the Iraqi and

Jordanian media campaigns and military mobilisations, King Abdullah's determination to see through plans for Greater Syria, and Nuri al-Said's persistent activities and demands for a union between Syria and Iraq. This led to a propaganda and military campaign between the three countries, which ended with an agreement between the United States and Britain to share oil interests in Syria. The council of ministers issued an official communiqué on June 21, 1949 which ratified the agreement between the Syrian government and the Middle East Pipelines Company Ltd. and the agreement to establish refineries. The following day, the Syrian Newspaper published the entire agreement with the Anglo-Iranian Company.

On June 25, al-Za'im stated that he had only ratified the Tapline Agreement and the Anglo-Iranian Agreement in order to provide employment for workers and to protect workers from "destructive communism". On June 28, the Damascene Victory newspaper published a translated article from the French newspaper Le Monde, stating, "Husni al-Za'im was able to garner supporters not only with his stance against the Hashemites, but also with his anti-communist statements. Although he is a supporter of the United States and an opponent of the Hashemite family, the British Foreign Office did not oppose him because it is in the interest of the British government to have control and sovereignty over the oil fields in the Middle

East. Therefore, it was faster than the United States in securing the right to refine its petroleum products on the shores of the Mediterranean Sea". 113

Sami al-Hinnawi's coup followed suit, with France and the United States considering it to have been supported by the Iraqi and Jordanian axis as well as the British. This was confirmed when a union with Iraq was proposed in government by Hashim al-Atassi in September 1949, and supported by Rushdi al-Kikhya, and Adel al-Azmeh from the People's Party. Akram Al-Hourani and Khaled Al-Azm opposed unity with Iraq while it was subject to a treaty with Britain. This political division spilled over into the army, which in turn was divided into factions that either supported or opposed unity with Iraq. 114

This Arab division was clearly evident in the new Constituent Assembly meetings that took place after the coup of al-Hinnawi in December 1949 with the aim of electing a head of state and drawing up an interim constitution and the constitutional oath. Disputes were centred on the clear proclamation of a republican system of government. The opposition that rejected unity with Iraq, which was under monarchic rule at the time, objected to Article 1 of the draft constitution, which stipulates the election of a "head of state". They demanded that the nomenclature be replaced with "the president of the republic".

Political disputes over the republican system and unity with Iraq spilled over into the military. Republican officers, led by Adib al-Shishakli, feared they would be purged by al-Hinnawi should the federal project with Iraq succeed. On December 19, 1949, al-Shishakli and his men launched another military coup. It was called the Colonel Movement due to the large number of colonels participating in the coup.

After Shishakli's downfall, Syria was once again a target for Arab interventions. The axis of Saudi Arabia and Egypt supported the return of al-Quwatli, while Iraq backed Sabri al-Asali's government and its supporters from the army and discharged officers. An agreement was reached between the two axes on the return of al-Quwatli to the presidency in 1955. The decision was supported by Iraq, Egypt and Saudi Arabia, who opposed the ascension of Khaled al-Azm, and his leftist and progressive forces, to the presidency. Fearing that Syria would veer towards the left, they all preferred a president who had the approval of the strongest traditional parties, the National Party and the People's Party, and who would be able to control leftist parties and the army.116 However, after al-Quwatli's ascent to power, regional axes continued to interfere in Syrian politics by preparing for military coups and collaborating with political and military affiliates inside Syria. The Baghdad Pact documents clearly refer to Iraqi intervention in Syria and to meetings that took place between Syrian and Iraqi ministers to create a union between the two countries and to disrupt the situation in Syria.¹¹⁷ Assassinations were carried out, the most critical of which was the assassination of Colonel Adnan al-Maliki in 1955.

In the second half of the 1950s, Syrian politics were dominated by the left-wing influences of Arab nationalism, which led to unification with Egypt in 1958. Several reasons explain this left-wing orientation. Syria was suffering from sanctions and the withholding of military aid by Western countries, especially Britain and the United States, due to fears over hostile Syrian intentions towards neighbouring countries, especially Israel. This was also because of Syria's rejection of the Baghdad Pact, followed by its policy of "positive neutrality" after the Bandung Conference in April 1955. Furthermore, military spending was increasing while development projects were progressing at a slow pace. This was accompanied by the imposition of Western, Arab and regional pressures on Syria as they fought to gain influence over the country. Israeli aggression on Syrian lands continued with unlimited Western support, Turkey posed a threat to the northern borders, and the Zionist lobby in the United States prevented supplies of weapons and equipment to the Syrian Army. Therefore, the nascent Syrian Republic needed a military force to preserve its borders that extended over five hundred kilometres with Turkey, Iraq, Jordan,

Palestine and Lebanon, none of whom were on friendly terms with Syria. This pushed Syria towards the Eastern Bloc in an effort to acquire arms and bypass the arms monopoly imposed by France, Britain and the United States on the Middle East. 118 In a pressure move, Turkey mobilized its armies on the Syrian border in March 1955. The Soviet Union, in turn, warned Turkey that it would not remain idle in the event of a Turkish military intervention in Syria. Shortly afterward, an agreement was made to sell Czech weapons to Syria due to the West's continued arms embargo and rising tensions with Israel. 119 Syria further signed a contract with the Soviet Union agreeing to new arms deals following Israeli raids and Turkish threats, the reluctance of Britain and the United States to supply Syria with weapons as it was not party to the Defence Pact, and the refusal of France – Syria's traditional arms provider - to arm the Arabs after the Algerian revolution. 120

A combination of other factors further contributed to the political and military shift of Syria towards the left. These included the tripartite aggression of Britain, France and Israel against Egypt in 1956, the Iraqi conspiracy¹²¹ in November 1956 followed by the American conspiracy¹²² in 1957, the disintegration of the Saudi-Egyptian-Syrian Arab alliance, and the American media campaign to fight the spread of communism in the Middle East and Syria and safeguard Western interests in

the region against the Communist threat.¹²³ Syria bypassed the Western arms monopoly by signing economic and military agreements with the Soviet Union that supplied the Syrian Army with weapons and equipment.¹²⁴ Internally, tensions escalated between Saudi and Egyptian-backed Shukri al-Quwatli, and Minister of Defence Khalid al-Azm, who was instrumental in signing these agreements during his trip to the Soviet Union.¹²⁵

The political situation in Syria became increasingly alarming towards the end of 1957 as the country was constantly exposed to external interferences and threats from neighbouring countries. The Communists seemed to be on the verge of seizing power after they allied with al-Azm and garnered support from the Soviet Union. This prompted the Ba'ath Party to propose a federal union with Egypt in December 1957, believing that Nasser would frustrate any plans for Communist Party rule. And while unity was one of the Ba'ath Party's leading principles, they also believed it would strengthen Syria's position against Western conspiracy efforts.

Fear and distrust spread among the military elite. After left-wing officers had reclaimed their positions in the army following the insurgency of Qatana on March 17, 1957 aimed at preventing their exclusion from leadership positions in the army, and after the Damascene officers had been accused, without evidence, of being involved in the American conspiracy, it was agreed that a

collective leadership termed the 'Command Council' would be formed. It consisted of twenty-three officers, from which a group was chosen to represent the army in political matters. They took part in the Council of Ministers meetings, which were convened by the President of the Republic, from 1957 until unity with Egypt in 1958. The Command Council consisted of the Afif al-Bizri group, who were communist sympathisers and allies of al-Azm, and the Amin al-Nafouri and Ahmad Abd al-Karim group, who were of the al-Shishakli officers' faction. Together, these two groups formed the al-Bizri/al-Nafouri/Abd al-Karim bloc within the Council. They were confronted by the Ba'athist officers' bloc, headed by Mustafa Hamdoun. There was a lack of trust between the two blocs, as Ba'athist officers believed that al-Shishakli and al-Nafuri group had allied with al-Azm and the Communist Party to limit the Ba'ath's influence in the army. The hidden conflict between the two blocs continued until union with Egypt, which most believed was the only way to avoid a competing political-military conflict and to overcome affiliations.

On the other hand, the national group within the government, consisting of the National Party, the Ba'ath Party, independents, and communists, were weakened by their disputes. The military therefore feared that civilian rule would fail and that politicians would fragment the army and exploit it to further their political

interests. They also feared that the People's Party would take over if the National Party government collapsed. They secretly decided, without consulting the government, to offer Abdel Nasser a proposal of unity in an effort to avert the impending governance crisis in Damascus, and in search of a strong alliance that would help overcome disintegration and internal and external threats. At the same time, a union with Egypt would meet the demands for Arab unity and socialist social reform.

However, the policies that Nasser pursued in the Northern Province, which began with dismantling political parties as a precondition for unity, left political leaders without any authority in their country. Agrarian reform laws and nationalization decrees followed suit, which greatly harmed the interests of the landowning and bourgeois classes. Nasser's policies further entailed relocating party officers to ministerial positions in order to isolate them from their sphere of influence within the military. This exclusion policy was also applied to the civilian Ba'athists who came to the realisation that Nasser distrusted them, despite their central role in engineering the union. Their ensuing anger was directed at the unilateral system of rule and Egyptian hegemony over the union, as well as the Ba'ath Party leadership for its part in causing their misfortunes. 127 Under these circumstances, the Military Committee was secretly formed in 1959. Although most of its founders were of Alawite, Ismaili and

Druze origins, sectarianism had little to do with military factionalism at the time. Rather, factions were divided along the lines of power and politics in the Cold War era.¹²⁸

4. Military Intervention in Politics and Vice Versa

4.1. Military and Political Overlap

One of the most important factors that contributed to political instability in Syria at the time was military intervention in politics on the one hand, and the political deployment of the military in political disputes on the other.

In practice, the dividing line between civilian-political action and military action in Syria had always been fragile. The National Bloc had a paramilitary group called the Steel Shirts 'al-Qumsan al-Hadidiya' sponsored by Fakhri al-Baroudi. It was inspired by European fascist forms of organization in terms of dress, salute and activity. The group became popular with youth across the country, as it spread across Syrian cities as a paramilitary wing of the Bloc's branches. The organisation's central command was headed by Munir al-Ajlani (Secretary-General) and Saif al-Din al-Ma'mun (Director of Finance). The National Bloc had also previously set up the Nationalist Youth group in 1929 with encouragement from al-Baroudi, the youth patron in Damascus, and was followed by the scouting Umayyad Troop. Al-Baroudi believed that the National Movement needed

its own militia and built the Umayyad Troop as a prototype for the future Syrian National Army. 130

The League of Nationalist Action also had a paramilitary group of its own, the Lion Cubs of Arabism, which rivalled the Steel Shirts in a manner that mirrored the political rivalry between the Bloc and the League. Likewise, Faisal al-Asali organized the Socialist Cooperative Party in a militaristic manner, training its members to fight, shoot, salute and carry out al-Asali's orders without question. The Muslim Brotherhood also had military factions under the name of the Youth Factions, which were dissolved by the military command in January 1952 during al-Shishakli's rule. Moreover, the Syrian Social Nationalist Party had a military wing called the National Jihad Forces.

Alliances between political leaders and military groups were commonplace. One of the most important representatives of this overlap between civilian and military activity was Akram al-Hourani. Al-Hourani rubbed shoulders with the army early on when he participated in the Rashid Ali al-Kilani revolt in Iraq in 1941. His relationships within the military expanded during the armed conflict to expel the French from Syrian territories in 1945, and later in 1948 when he led a group of volunteers to fight in Palestine accompanied by a number of army officers, including Adib al-Shishakli. In his study on the Syrian Army,

Michel Van Dusen puts forth that al-Hourani's main support base within the army consisted of officers from the Hama region. He classifies them as middle-class fourth generation officers who graduated from the Homs Military Academy between 1946-1952. Some of them politically supported al-Hourani and rallied to his leadership, especially the class of 1948, the majority of which had local orientations. Others merely shared his goal of changing feudal society but were not necessarily his allies.

Al-Hourani saw the army as part of the people and as a national institution, and believed the struggle to liberate Syria from the French mandate was a joint effort between the people and the army.¹³⁴ He also championed and supported the army in Parliament, and urged young people to join the Military Academy where al-Hourani, as well as the Ba'ath Party and the Syrian Social Nationalist Party, were influential in instilling their ideologies.¹³⁵ For this reason, many politicians, soldiers, and researchers in Syrian history, hold al-Hourani responsible for the army's politicization after independence. Numerous testimonies also confirm his involvement in almost all military coups, though he has denied this.¹³⁶

In parallel, the military moved past its role as a state institution that defended the country against external aggression and complied with the government's political decisions. Instead, the military adopted a concept of national security that saw the

army as the protector of the nation against external and internal enemies alike. In fact, the absence of external threats, in some instances, caused the military to carry out its national security duties against local political forces it deemed to be a threat to internal security and stability.¹³⁷ Whenever military missions became scarce, the army would have surplus capabilities for a non-military role. 138 This paved the way for the military to intervene in politics and control the government whenever it felt that conflict between political factions was headed towards a government deadlock that would threaten internal security and stability. 139 Furthermore, military defeat in the 1948 war also contributed to the political inclination of the military elite, and led to an increase in accusatory discourse between military and political elites. Each side blamed the other for losing the war. When the army felt that it had become a scapegoat, the military decided to overthrow the government, stating that the civilian leadership had betrayed them. 140

4.2. Mutual Accusatory Discourse

The military elite built its discourse on two pillars. The first was defending the country's independence and security. The second was accusing the political elite of corruption, espionage and incompetence, thereby justifying intervention in politics and military rule as a 'legitimate' alternative.

Statement No.1 of Husni al-Za'im's coup against President Quwatli contains the following, "Driven by our patriotic jealousy and agonized by the slanders of those who call themselves our loyal rulers, we were forced to temporarily take over the reins of power in the country [...] Our job is to create a truly democratic government to replace the current false one."141 After the coup of March 30, 1949, military planes dropped political pamphlets over the capital and major cities that read, "The valiant Syrian army has seen what the country has come to in terms of chaos, lethargy and betrayals, and has found the current regime plagued with evils and shamefulness, infidelities and thefts, the elimination of democratic freedoms and the violation of the constitution and the law. The army saw all this and was certain that the nation was marching hastily towards death and annihilation. Patriotism, dignity and honour did not allow the army to stand idly by and accept humiliation, slavery and obliteration as the destiny of a great nation worthy of glory and immortality. The army was determined to take an honourable stand, and to intervene to restore matters to their rightful place, including the nation's honour, dignity and freedom."142

On participating in the coup to overthrow Husni al-Za'im, Amin Abu Assaf, who was commander of an armoured battalion during preparations for the coup against al-Za'im, stated that, "I felt that eliminating the tyrant was the duty of the army alone. Had we not done it, we would have betrayed our duty and abandoned our country in its most severe internal ordeal." On the coup to overthrow al-Hinnawi, Abu Assaf said, "We [the military] are adopting the goal of not compromising the independence of Syria." 144

On his second coup, Adib al-Shishakli stated that, "We found before us the country's republican system headed towards abolition, so we saved it. The military only did what they had to when they witnessed the state's trickery. They meant well by the coup and did not intend to cause any harm. Had the army not intervened sooner, the country would have been lost." 145

In fact, the root cause of the problem goes back to the army being assigned police duties at home and being used by the ruling political elite as a tool to suppress demonstrations and unrest to maintain order and stability. In 1948 demonstrations and protests swept the country denouncing the defeat in the Palestine War and the pervasive corruption in the military and various government ministries. There were clashes between demonstrators, gendarmerie and police forces. As a result, al-Quwatli asked Husni al-Za'im to intervene and put an end to the widespread unrest. The army thereby took over the duty of maintaining public order and security from the police and imposed martial law and curfews.

The military elite was highly confident and believed it had the right to manage its organisation and operations independently. It was wary about anything that would affect its privileges and status. Therefore, transferring the gendarmerie and police forces from the Ministry of Defence to the Ministry of Interior was a great source of provocation for the army, which considered this an attempt by civilians to weaken their influence and authority. Consequently, the gendarmerie remained a source of tension between the army and civilians for a long time, and it was one of the motives for al-Shishakli's coup in 1951.

There was an infamous dispute between the military elite, represented by al-Shishakli, and the civilian elite, represented by the People's Party, over the removal of the gendarmerie from military command and its placement under the control of the Ministry of the Interior, and the amending of the laws to the Military Tribunals in 1951. Al-Shishakli believed that the People's Party wanted to use the gendarmerie to serve their electoral interests upon the dissolution of Parliament. He rejected the appointment of a civilian Defence Minister, ¹⁴⁹ thereby refusing the demands of the People's Party that sought to prevent the military from interfering in state policy. However, President Hashim al-Atassi, in agreement with the People's Party, parliamentary parties and independents who supported these demands, tried to force the military to accept the situation

and form a Council of Ministers where Maarouf al-Dawalibi would be both Prime Minister and Defence Minister. Al-Atassi also issued a decree linking the gendarmerie with the Ministry of Interior. 150 Al-Shishakli saw this decision as a challenge to himself and the colonels, as it inferred that all commands would report directly to President al-Atassi without referring to al-Shishakli, 151 and would effectively remove power from the military elite and place it in the hands of the political elite. Al-Shishakli warned al-Dawalibi that the ministerial list was not acceptable to the army, and when al-Dawalibi refused to make any changes, the First Brigade marched at dawn on November 29, 1951 to occupy public facilities and government buildings. It then surrounded the Presidential Palace and cut off all communication with President al-Atassi. The Prime Minister, his government ministers, leaders of the People's Party and members of parliament were arrested and taken to Mezzeh Prison. In total, 42 people were detained. 152 Al-Shishakli continued to pressure al-Dawalibi's government in prison and threatened a military dictatorship if the government did not submit its resignation.¹⁵³ Subsequent decrees were distinctly repressive and imposed restrictions and censorship. 154

On the other hand, the military, especially those who participated in coups, were accused of espionage and working with foreign countries by politicians from the ruling traditional elite and the emerging ideological elite. The Communist Party issued a statement on June 25, 1949 urging a boycott of the referendum called by Husni al-Za'im, in which it stated, "The referendum called by Colonel Husni, servant of American and British colonialism, is the biggest travesty in the history of Syria [...] He [Husni al-Za'im] has reinforced the control of American, British and French colonial powers in our country." The Communist Party called the elections that were held under military rule "the fake colonial elections."

Despite al-Hourani's relationship with the military and his frequent role in coups, he accused the military, saying, "The military coup of Husni al-Za'im was encouraged by foreign actors." He later accused al-Hinnawi and al-Shishakli of the same thing. Khalid al-Azm also described the military elite that pursued power as "the manic officers, seekers of command and control over the country." He accused them of being a tool in the hands of the coloniser, and even described them as "slaves", stating, "Thus came Husni Al-Za'im, followed by al-Hinnawi and then al-Shishakli. They were each paid for by a foreign country to overturn the current situation and establish a new regime it could exploit." In his memoirs, al-Azm expressed his remorse for accepting the military's offer to rule several times. His justification for cooperating with the officers in 1950 and 1951 was that he did so not for personal benefit, but to spare

the country from military rule. As for 1955 and 1957, he says that the officers supported him to spite the People's Party. 159

Not only did al-Azm criticise the officers contending for power for their political weakness in government, but he also mocked their weak education and cultural levels, calling them, "those officers who dropped out of public schools because they could not attain their degrees." He also says, "The majority of students who failed in preparatory schools scurried to the military school. It was attended by every lazy student who wanted to evade the long years of study at preparatory schools and universities." Thus illustrating that conflict between the military and politicians had a class dimension as well.

The middle-class and poor social backgrounds of the Syrian officers led them to adopt a socio-political discourse that protected the interests of the social groups to which they belonged. They presented themselves as "progressives" in the face of "reactionary" class rule. In his book, Hani al-Khair states that Adib al-Shishakli "while preparing for Husni al-Zai'm's coup against al-Quwatli, was happy with his mission to take over public facilities and government buildings in Damascus, and arresting the President of the Republic, that quiet aristocrat." 162

The military elite presented itself as "progressive" based on two factors. The first was proclaiming that the coup was "progressive", since it was a revolution against a system of "conspirators" and reactionaries". The second was describing the modernist constitutional and legal amendments it approved in the face of traditional society as the true embodiment of "progressive" thought.

On the fifth day after his coup, Husni al-Zai'm delivered his first speech to the people, thanking them for their support, and promising to establish a "new progressive regime" that draws from the people's desires and hopes. He did not mention anything, however, about restoring democracy or constitutional order. Furthermore, the statement from the military that was presented to the people to explain the reasons for the three coups in 1949, contained expressions such as "spreading a progressive spirit" and "the progressive idea". 164

The Turkish and Egyptian models of military rule served as inspirations for coup leaders. The military, therefore, did not view their coups as an attack on authority. Rather, they saw them as modernising and progressive movements aimed at reforming laws and building a modern state. Under their regimes, religion clauses were removed from identity cards, nomadic Bedouins were settled, and a central bank was established. 165

Husni Al-Za'im modernized school education and updated the curricula of Damascus University. He banned the use of titles such as 'Pasha' and 'Bey' and began the process of removing religious endowments and replacing Islamic Sharia law with modern civil, criminal, and commercial laws. He also appointed new governors who enjoyed both civil and military authority. Al-Za'im confronted the traditional Damascene community and made away with its extreme and strict religious traditions. He publicly declared his indignation at the traditional Arab dress, keffiyeh and agal, after which the streets were filled with old collections of foreign European hats. Moreover, women emerged and participated more freely in public life. After Al-Hinnawi's coup, the government ratified a new electoral law on November 11, 1949, in which the voting age was reduced from 21 to 18, and women were given the right to vote. The economy developed remarkably under al-Shishakli, with notable growth in agriculture, industry, and services. Furthermore, Legislative Decree No. 87, issued on March 28, 1953, set up the basic monetary system and established the Central Bank of Syria. 167

During the 1950s and 1960s, the military considered itself the most modern group in society. In principle, the army adopted a modern approach and followed modern disciplinary orders. They were interested in economic and social reform and adopted nationalization policies. Moreover, they fought against 'regression' and 'imperialism', according to the jargon used at the time. Thus, it is safe to say that the 'progressivism' adopted by the military was more concerned with social freedoms than political freedoms. This was the basis on which they criticized

the 'reactionary' ruling class that allowed political freedoms but did not adopt radical economic and social reform in order to preserve its class interests.

4.3. Exclusions and Purges

The political history of Syria, from independence to the present day, has been characterized by practices of exclusion, elimination, and purges among political parties, within the ranks of each party, and between politicians and the military. The assassination of Abd al-Rahman Shahbandar, leader of the People's Party, in 1940 constituted the first political purge. Between 1946 and 1963, Syrian political parties were purged and disbanded four times. 170

After independence in 1946, the Syrian government, headed by al-Quwatli, took over the 'Special Troops of the Levant' from France, which numbered approximately thirty thousand fighters.¹⁷¹ The Syrian army experienced its first bout of factionalism after independence, with rivalry between senior officers and young officers. Defence Minister Ahmad al-Sharabati and his successor al-Quwatli, both supported the young officers and sought to discharge the senior officers. This was due to the fact that a small number of commanding officers had joined the revolution in 1945 against the French, while the rest were subsequently handed over to the Syrian government.

On the other hand, most of the young officers had joined the revolution. Sharabati and Quwatli sought to win the loyalty of these young officers, and to discharge the old officers. However, the opposition, headed by Akram al-Hourani, believed that with the decisive battle for Palestine fast approaching, it was necessary to strengthen the army, rather than purge it, by reassembling the old guard and introducing conscription laws which would provide the army with tens of thousands of young soldiers. The opposition's weakness at the time meant that al-Quwatli's decisions would be implemented. Indeed, the mass military discharge and weakening of the army cannot be overlooked when discussing causes for the 1948 Palestinian Nakba and the first coup d'état as well. The military institutions, whose pride and dignity were wounded in the 1948 Palestinian Nakba, were outraged at the ruling elite and their policies towards the army.

Husni Al-Zai'm explains the reasons behind his coup, stating that, "the primary reason was the reprehensible attack on the army by Parliament." However, after taking power, al-Za'im arbitrarily discharged a large number of officers and soldiers, dissolved parties and closed down their offices, and arrested political leaders and forced them to issue statements that he dictated. Most notable was the letter Michel Aflaq was forced to write in prison in which he renounced the Ba'ath Party. Later on,

al-Hinnawi's attempts to exclude his fellow officers caused them to turn against him and stage a coup. When al-Shishakli came to power, he issued Decree No. 1349 on December 27, 1952, effective on January 1, 1953, in which he dismissed forty officers and commanding officers. He then issued a series of decrees restricting the activities of political parties.¹⁷³

On the other hand, and driven by the devastation of the Palestine War, al-Shishakli focused his efforts on strengthening the army and consolidated his relations with the young officers to increase his popularity. He influenced appointments within the army, and placed officers loyal to him in key positions, strengthening his control over the security services. Al-Shishakli and his colonels became the nexus of power and influence in the country. He removed Chief-of-Staff Anwar Bannud, an officer from Aleppo and a relative of Nazim al-Qudsi, in order to weaken the influence of the People's Party. On April 23, 1951, al-Shishakli became Chief-of-Staff, while Bannud was appointed military attaché in Ankara, and Fawzi Selu was forced to retire after many years of service.

During al-Shishakli's rule, policies of exclusion affected the Circassian officers Mahmoud Shawkat, who was forced to retire and was accused of plotting against the country, and Khalid Jada, who was sent into exile with al-Hinnawi to Lebanon in 1950. These policies of exclusion were also applied to a number of

Christian officers, including Armenian officer Lieutenant General Aram Karamanoukian, who was dismissed from the artillery regiment after the December 1950 coup, and his colleague, officer Bahij al-Kallas, who was tried on charges of an attempted military coup. As for Alawite officers, Mohammad Maarouf was sent into exile in Lebanon after being imprisoned following the coup against al-Hinnawi, Ghassan Jadid was removed as head of the Military Police, and Aziz Abdel Karim was marginalized. Colonel Mohammad Nasser, Commander of the Syrian Air Force, was assassinated on August 1, 1950 by unknown persons. On October 30, 1950, Major General al-Hinnawi was shot in Beirut by Hersho al-Barazi in revenge for the death of his cousin, Muhsin al-Barazi. Purges had thus expanded beyond political rivalries on Syrian soil, as officers increasingly sought to eliminate their opponents abroad. 176 Al-Shishakli's fiercest confrontation, however, was with the Druze, where the military was deployed. Mansur al-Atrash, a Druze Ba'athist and son of Sultan Pasha al-Atrash, leader of the Syrian revolution in 1925, distributed anti-Shishkali leaflets in Sweida in late January 1954 following arrests at anti-Shishakli student and party demonstrations. He was thereby arrested, which caused protests to erupt in Sweida and shootings took place. Al-Shishakli sent military reinforcements along with Hajjana forces from the Arabs of the Lajat, who were firmly hostile and

vengeful towards Jabal al-Druze. The army entered Sweida where bloody confrontations took place, and the Druze delegation in Damascus which included Prince Hasan al-Atrash was arrested. A special force was also sent to arrest Sultan Pasha al-Atrash, forcing him and his comrades to seek refuge in Jordan after attempting to resist. This explains the strong presence of Druze politicians and officers in the subsequent coup against al-Shishakli.

Al-Shishakli was not overthrown by a pure civil revolution that broke with the military approach and its violation of the field of politics, but rather by a joint military-political movement that allowed the military to maintain its influence in politics. Al-Shishakli's rule ended on February 25, 1954 and was followed by a period of instability that lasted nearly four years. The intense struggle between political parties hindered the formation of ministries several times. Several political parties were purged, including the Syrian Social Nationalist Party, which was dissolved on April 22, 1955, after being accused of assassinating Colonel Adnan al-Maliki. The National Party was also dissolved in the fall of 1956, after accusations of involvement in the Iraqi-British conspiracy, which aimed at establishing a union between Syria and Iraq. 178

The political diversity and pluralism of the 1950s in Syria was reflected in the military through its the various factions and divisions. The army was no longer divided along sectarian lines as it had been before independence. Divisions, rather, became governed by internal political rivalries and external interferences, as Syria had become the epicentre for regional and international conflicts between axes and their intelligence services. As a result of this partisanship and rivalry, the military elite has endured massive exterminations since independence and until this day, and has witnessed discharges and forced retirements, imprisonment, exile, and assassinations. Al-Azm refers to this issue in his memoirs, saying, "Thus, no more than twelve years had passed until the number of discharged officers exceeded that of officers in active duty. If we examine the upper class, that is, the colonels and above, we find that it has been purged, leaving only the junior officers who do not yet possess the experience necessary to take over command of the army and its units."179

Each coup leader eliminated senior officers that had been instated prior to their coup. This practice continued even during civilian rule in an effort to consolidate command positions in the army. Alliances between the military and party leaders contributed to these practices, as did relations with conflicting foreign axes over Syria.

The assassination of Adnan al-Maliki in 1955 was a turning point in Syrian politics that impacted the military and political elites. In November 1956, a year after al-Maliki's assassination, a coup attempt was made by a group of Syrian officers in cooperation with Iraq. Eight members of Parliament, a number of army officers and members of the Syrian Social Nationalist Party were accused of conspiring with Iraq to stage a military coup and overthrow left-wing rule. These two events, the assassination of al-Maliki and the 'Iraqi conspiracy', contributed to the strengthening of the position of Ba'athist officers and their left-wing allies who objected to the reduction of death sentences issued against those accused of the Iraqi conspiracy.

In an effort to diffuse tensions within the army, Shukri al-Quwatli and Chief-of-Staff Tawfiq Nizam al-Din moved more than a hundred left-wing officers away from their support base in the army. Moreover, new appointments were made that reinforced the support base of Damascene officers loyal to al-Quwatli and al-Shishakli and weakened the left. These measures prompted Ba'athist officers to coordinate with the party leadership (Aflaq, al-Bitar and al-Hourani), to launch the Qatana insurrection on March 17, 1957. 181

In August 1957, Radio Damascus announced the discovery of a plot designed by the CIA to overthrow the government in Syria. As a result, the American diplomatic mission was expelled from Damascus, ¹⁸² and Afif al-Bizri, a Soviet sympathizer, was appointed as Chief- of-Staff. He discharged high-ranking

Damascene officers and formed a command council consisting of Ba'athists and al-Shishakli officers, despite the lack of trust between the two groups. This mistrust became further entrenched after the al-Bizri and al-Shishakali factions allied with the Khalid al-Azm parliamentary bloc and the Communist Party to diminish Ba'athist influence in the army. 183

In late 1957 and early 1958, Syrian politicians found themselves either subjected to or allied with military factions whose differences reflected the raging political and ideological rivalry. The Damascene Officers faction, led by Suheil al-Ashi, was affiliated with al-Quwatli and hostile to al-Hourani, the Ba'ath Party, and the left-wing and progressives in general. The faction of Amin al-Nafuri, Ahmad Abd al-Karim, Ahmad Hanidi, and Touma Awadallah was affiliated with al-Shishakli faction and hostile to al-Hourani and the Ba'ath, although some of them developed left-wing tendencies later on. The Afif al-Bizri and Communist Officers faction was mainly composed of Palestinians. The last faction consisted of Mustafa Hamdoun, Abdul Ghani Qanoot, and Ba'ath officers loyal to Akram al-Hourani. It was apparent that the political-military struggle would lead Syria into a bloody conflict. The military believed that the only solution was unity with Egypt, as it would put an end to these partisanships and protect Syria from the increasing foreign threats at its borders.

During the union with Egypt, both politicians and the military experienced the largest number relocations, exclusions, and discharges. After first dissolving political parties, Abdel Nasser then turned his attention to the military institution, the more dangerous of the two, in his opinion, as it had been the source of coups. He relocated senior officers to political positions of ministers, advisors and ambassadors to distance them from military command. The rest were sent on diplomatic and exchange missions. Egyptian officers thus ruled Syria, while Syrian officers fell prey to disguised unemployment in Egypt. Abdel Nasser excluded most Ba'athist and Communist officers. He dismissed al-Bizri one month into the union and discharged or relocated minority officers to Egypt or other civilian jobs, sparing only the Damascene Officers faction which would later turn against him and overthrow the union. Research into the history of the Syrian military institution shows that one of the many reasons that incited the coup against Abdel Nasser was his dismissal of a large number of officers.

After secession from the union, a new phase of factionalism and conflict began between those loyal to Nasser and the union, and those opposed to it. Political instability driven by intermittent coup attempts led to a constant change in government and the suspension of Parliament. Such was the case on March 28 - April 1, 1962, when the Damascene Officers

(officers of the September 28 Movement) overthrew the civilian government they had initially instated. This subsequently weakened their influence and that of many Christian officers, especially after the Homs conference on April 10, 1962, which exiled Damascene officers leading the coup. Under these circumstances, the army divided once again into several factions along partisan lines: Arab Nationalists, Ba'athist Officers, the September 28 Officers, and Nasserist Officers.¹⁸⁴

On January 11, 1963, al-Nahlawi secretly returned with his comrades from exile to stage a coup in liaison with the Nasserists. On January 13, an insurgency took place in the Qatana, Qaboun and al-Kiswah¹⁸⁵ military camps in support of al-Nahlawi, prompting Colonel Ziad al-Hariri to move his forces on the Golan front towards Damascus, which was about to fall into a state of chaos brought on by these rival factions. When politicians tried to mediate a solution to the conflict, al-Nahlawi presented his demands which included the reinstatement of him and his comrades in the army, the trial of those involved in the Aleppo insurgency of April 1962, and the call for immediate and conditional unity with Egypt. President Nazim al-Qudsi met with al-Nahlawi and his comrades and reached an amicable agreement with them to leave Syria, while the Army Command issued a decision on March 1 to appoint al-Hariri military attaché in Baghdad. The failure of the remaining Damascene Officers

cleared the stage for the Ba'athist and Nasserist factions and their independent allies. This prompted Colonel Ziad Hariri, allied with Nasserist officers and the Military Committee, to seize power on March 8, 1963. Afterward, failure of the tripartite unity pact between Egypt, Syria and Iraq in April 1963, accelerated the conflict between Ba'athists and Nasserists over power. This culminated in a bloody clash on July 18, 1963, following the failed coup attempt of Nasserist officer Jasim Alwan. The Ba'ath Party and Military Committee thereby pursued a bloody path to monopolize power.

4.4. From Political to Sectarian Factionalism

After the coup of March 8, 1963, the military fell into a web of partisan and sectarian divides and witnessed unprecedented campaigns of eliminations and discharges on charges of separatism, bourgeoisie sympathies, populism, and subservience to al-Hourani. A few days after the coup, 500 officers from trained and professional army cadres were discharged, to be replaced by reserve officers who were party members, teachers and employees. Luay al-Atassi and Fahd al-Shaer point out that in the tripartite unity talks held on March 3, 1963, 187 discharges and purges targeted those affiliated with the Syrian Social Nationalist Party, the Communist Party, Kurds, Circassians, security officers in the Ministry of Interior and students at the

Military Academy, where an entire class was dismissed on the pretext that its students were secessionists. The Lebanese newspaper, Al-Hayat, published a list of discharges and reported on figures, "104 senior Syrian officers have been discharged, while 400 other officers are at their homes awaiting a decision." ¹⁸⁸

Nasserist officers also faced discharges, which caused demonstrations to break out. The offices and newspaper of the Arab Nationalists were closed down, and its members either fled or were arrested and subsequently given long prison sentences. The public sector was purged of Nasserists and their loyalists, who were, in turn, replaced by Ba'athists.

The Military Committee purged the civilian political wing of the Ba'ath, political rivals such as Nasserists, and a group of independent officers affiliated with Major General Ziad Hariri, relocating 25 of his supporters. It was then consumed by internal struggles for power among its factions at the expense of what was left of the Syrian Army, which was divided in 1964 into four blocs along sectarian, regional and clannist lines:

 Major General Salah Jadid's bloc consisted of Alawite officers, some officers of the Masyaf and Salamiyah districts, including Abdul Karim al-Jundi, and the left-wing civilian Ba'athists of the Regional Command.

- Major General Muhammad Umran's bloc competed against Salah Jadid and Amin Al-Hafiz for the presidency, garnering support from Alawite officers, and semi-Nasserist civilians. Umran simultaneously approached the Damascene right-wing and Gamal Abdel Nasser.
- Officer Salim Hatum's bloc constituted a small centre of power in the army with the support of Jabal al-Druze officers.
- Amin al-Hafiz's bloc comprised of Sunni officers. 190

The first conflict between these rivalling blocs arose between Salah Jadid and Muhammad Umran. Despite their common sectarian background, their orientations and alliances were different. Umran allied with Salah al-Bitar, the prime minister and member of the National Command, who sought to cooperate with the merchants of Damascus, the Syrian right, the Ba'athists, and the Nasserists, and to garner Arab support for his government. They stood against the coalition of Major General Salah Jadid, the Regional Command and the left-wing military. Umran lost his military base after Salah Jadid took control of the 70th Brigade in Qatana. He submitted his resignation and was appointed Syria's ambassador to Spain in December 1964. Umran's loyal officers were transferred away from various command branches, and some were dispatched on a military mission to Moscow. Umran was later assassinated in Lebanon

on March 14, 1972. The second conflict was the coup against Amin al-Hafez and the National Command on February 2, 1966, which was followed by the exile of twelve officers and the purge of over ninety officers of various ranks, less than a year and a half before the June War, on charges of collusion with Amin al-Hafez. A number of officers were also discharged on account of belonging to the bourgeoisie. A popular class background became a condition for joining the army. Foreign Minister Ibrahim Makhous said to Akher Sa'a magazine in late June 1966: "The party is the base, and the army, like all institutions, must be organized in a partisan manner, as it is a popular sector that participates in elections and is therefore subject to political leadership. Which is why bourgeois officers were discharged and popular descent became a condition for enrolment in the Military Academy."191 Salim Hatum, who played an executive role in the coup against Amin al-Hafez, found himself and officers of his bloc and sect, including civilians and soldiers, not only without promotion, but also facing discharges and purges. Hatum launched a failed coup against Salah Jadid, the result of which was the purge of two hundred Druze officers from the Syrian Army. Fahd Al-Sha'ir and Hatum were arrested and sentenced to death. Hatum died under torture.

Patrick Seale describes the situation after these purges as follows, "Assad, as Defence Minister, agreed to dismiss some

400 officers in the largest cleansing campaign in the history of the Syrian Army. He and Jadid were determined to put an end to sectarianism once and for all. If these are added to the many Nasserists and secessionists who were discharged or arrested since 1963, it becomes clear that Syria had tumbled in the June War without an officer corps, or at least with a corps that was greatly depleted." The American Time magazine also published an article in January 1967 containing a description of the state of the Syrian Army. It stated: "The Syrian forces are shamefully deficient because more than half their officers have been targeted by the purge. The brigadiers of today were captains no more than three years ago. More so, a third of the Syrian Army is stationed in Damascus to support and protect the regime." 193

Between July 1963 and February 1966, the conflict between the military wing and the civilian wing of the Ba'ath Party expanded factionalism along regional, personal and sectarian lines. ¹⁹⁴ The conflict within the Ba'ath Party after 1963 was not a mere struggle between the right and the left, where the left proved victorious. According to Ghassan Salamé, it was instead a struggle between the utopian unionists for whom secession was a source of guilt, and a new pragmatic group that accepted the existing borders between Arab countries and whose organization relied on local-sectarian affiliations and a modern army. Hence,

the defeat of Aflaq and al-Bitar in 1966 constituted a victory for the countryside over the city, for the minority over a fragile alliance between the majority and minorities, for the military over civilians, for pragmatism over utopia, and for the army over the party, the latter of which then became a tool in the hands of the army for propaganda, mobilization and cementing legitimacy.¹⁹⁵

The only members of the Military Committee left standing were Salah Jadid and Abdul Karim al-Jundi on one side, and Hafez al-Assad and his brother Rifaat al-Assad on the other. The latter established the Defence Companies (unit 569) that Hafez al-Assad subsequently used to confront Salah Jadid, seize power and destroy the influence of Abdul Karim al-Jundi. Starting in the mid-1960s, the military elite pursued a policy of building an 'ideological army', which blurred the divisions between Salah Jadid's bloc, Muhammad Umran's bloc, and Hafez al-Assad's bloc. The army became a central pillar of the regime, which in turn took the form of a "party-army intermarriage or coexistence" rather than pure military rule. Eventually, al-Assad managed to purge his rivals and seize power in what he called the 'Corrective Movement' on November 16, 1970.

Launching a military coup would not be an easy feat after 1970. Military spending rose to 13.7% of GDP, ¹⁹⁷ while the regime exerted oversight and increased surveillance to prevent

any coups. It strengthened the Ba'athist politicised armed forces and tightened its grip over security and intelligence apparatuses. Furthermore, promotions and discharges were issued based on the assessment of kinships and degree of loyalty to the regime. Another factor, identified by Roger Owen, was the application of Soviet concepts in organization and military tactics, which strengthened the regime's control over the officer corps and significantly limited the real power of division commanders. ¹⁹⁸ The military elite also sought to integrate itself with the technocrats, state bourgeoisie and commercial bourgeoisie. This made it difficult for these groups to rebel against the status quo.

Following the Corrective Movement in 1970, the military was subjected to two parallel policies. The first aimed at improving its combat capabilities, which proved effective in the 1973 War, and the second aimed at preventing this combat capability from crossing over the predefined political line. This was guaranteed by reserving key and sensitive positions for relatives, friends and fellow sect members, and marginalizing traditional Alawite leaderships in favour of new Alawite senior officers. Moreover, parallel paramilitary forces, such as the Republican Guard and the Defence Companies, were formed. The affinity between the ruling sect, the army and the state grew stronger in the 1970s. This was evident in the new tasks that were assigned to the army, other than fighting Israel, the most prominent of

which was the intervention in Lebanon in 1976, 1982, and 1983, and quelling the insurgency of the Muslim Brotherhood in Syria in 1978-1982. It is noteworthy that these new tasks did not result in any insurgencies or mass desertions within the Syrian Army, which remained firm and resolute.²⁰¹

The military institution and the Syrian state were redefined following the events of the 1980s. The purge of the Muslim Brotherhood and the ensuing war for power between the al-Assad brothers was followed by civil and military discharges and purges. In 1983, Hafez al-Assad had become the one and 'eternal' leader of Syria, propagated by political literature, official speech, slogans and pictures that covered the streets and state institutions. The most prominent slogan was "Our Eternal Leader, Hafez al-Assad". The Republican Guard replaced the Defence Companies, and officers in the security apparatus were allocated positions according to their Alawite clan origins. Policies on sectarian and ethnic quotas in Parliament, party groups, local administrations and ministries were extended to include all sects and ethnicities, not only Alawites.

The dividing line disappeared between politics and the military in terms of them being two separate institutions competing for power and distinct in ideology and class, as had been the case after independence. Al-Assad succeeded in establishing a strong presidential rule. He held the reins of power

by taking control of the three centers of authority in Syria: the party (as its secretary general), appointing and dissolving governments, and the leadership of the armed forces and the military which became Ba'athist.²⁰² This led the presidency to control the whole of society, supported by civilian-military alliances, Alawite followers and relatives in security and military command positions, as well as the urban Sunni bourgeoisie, especially in Damascus.

Conclusion

Political elites in post-independence Syria were shaped by two main factors: the struggle with colonialism, and modernity. Most political elites and parties emerged during the French mandate, and their objectives and agendas revolved around resisting foreign occupation and striving for national independence. After independence, the struggle against the 'foreign other' remained the source of legitimacy for these elites, especially with regard to the Palestinian Cause, ongoing regional threats and colonial projects in the region, such as the Baghdad Pact. Internally, however, these elites entered into a political struggle over modernity and the social, economic and constitutional issues of the newly independent state.

The traditional elite that took power after independence constituted a class of landowners, notables, merchants and

industrialists that fought in the struggle for independence, that is, the upper class that held political, economic and social influence. It was distinguished by its ethnic and religious diversity, with a clear Sunni Muslim majority, which united under the banner of an Arab identity and a national-liberal project. Its political orientations geared towards democracy and liberalism, and centred around the parliamentary system, constitution and individual freedoms. It was inspired by the Western European democratic political system. However, unlike its Western European counterparts, it failed to form a political class in the absence of a real class struggle. Economic growth during the mandate period and post-independence was not strong enough to bring about a modern economy led by an economic bourgeoisie which supported the emergence of a political bourgeoisie. Instead, the economic and political bourgeoisie in Syria merged to form the ruling class.

The traditional ruling elite did not follow a clear ideology and political agenda and did not break with traditional society. On the contrary, it invested in local loyalties and leaderships in an effort to build alliances and strengthen its influence, especially during elections. It failed to gain the confidence of the masses, which paved the way for the military to enter politics as representatives of the masses and the interests of the middle and poor classes. On the other hand, emerging ideological parties

also failed to rally the vast majority of the masses. Independence, therefore, remained fragile as the intelligentsia and petty bourgeoisie concerned themselves with issues of national and political struggles while the masses were ignored. The masses were left without radical working or peasant class leaderships, as Yassin al-Hafez puts it,²⁰³ and the Nasser leadership simply filled this vacuum. This situation also expanded military influence.

On the other hand, the conflict between the Arab axes over expanding influence in Syria, the Cold War, the 1948 defeat in the Palestine War, and the internal struggle over economic and social modernization projects contributed to the fragmentation and division of the traditional ruling elite. This made way for the emergence of a new elite that adopted multiple ideologies including Arab nationalism, Syrian nationalism, Marxism, and Islamism. The emerging ideological elite included members of the middle class and the upper middle class. It acquired legitimacy through its ideologies that opposed the ruling class, colonialism and imperialism alike. This made it symbolically powerful and appealing to the military, with whom it would form alliances. Furthermore, it possessed strong intellectual capital, as its members had attended Western universities and were influenced by European modernity.

The ruling elite failed to keep up with economic and social developments that accelerated post-independence and failed to deal with the mounting threat of the emerging ideological elite who exerted pressure through protests and demonstrations. This forced it to reorganize its priorities and revise its plans for democracy that began under the French mandate. The mandate had hindered the establishment of a solid foundation for a democratic parliamentary system, which remained fragile and a weak version of the Western parliamentary system. This allowed for successive military interventions and coups that obstructed the political constitution.

The first generation of military elites that engineered successive coups between 1949 and 1954 was formed within the French mandate's Special Troops. It descended from the middle and poor classes of ethnic and religious minorities who rebelled against urban civilian rule. This generation received a modest French secondary education, and thereby enjoyed limited intellectual capital. In the second half of the 1950s, a second generation of military elites emerged, which was moulded within national education and military institutions. It was therefore more politicized and radical, dividing the army into factions that mirrored the diversity in politics. Members of this generation mostly came from rural families of non-landowning farmers, with a few descendants of rural notables and civil servants.

Despite their modest education and origins, they were influenced by nationalist and socialist ideologies, and sought to improve their social and political status, which they achieved in 1963.

Disagreements between political and military institutions from independence until 1963 revolved around the following set of points: the position on military armament and the military's role in politics; unitary projects between Syria and countries in the region, and associated impacts on the republican system; the social question, class struggle, the peasant issue and the socialist system; the legitimacy of the ruling elites; the position on continuous foreign interferences and conflict of regional axes; military intervention in politics; political deployment of the military in political conflicts; mutual accusations of corruption; and issues surrounding notions of progressivism, reactionism, patriotism, reform and revolution.

In light of social divisions over notions of 'identity' and 'the national state', the diversity of sectarian and regional ties and loyalties, and foreign interferences, the military found itself the most organized, qualified and representative national institution. It believed it had the right to participate in politics since it was the protector of national security and unity against external and internal enemies alike. Its ability to launch a series of coups is attributed to the failure of civilian political authority to contain the military establishment, to neutralize it from interfering with

politics, and to approach it as a state institution subject to the existing political system. The coups were launched under the pretence of defending the republican system and implementing progressive economic and social reforms. It took advantage of political instability caused by external regional factors and internal political factionalism.

It can also be inferred that in the absence of an institutionally dominant political elite, that is, a hegemonic bourgeoisie, armed forces will tend to seize power and dominate society. This process of appropriation is carried out in the name of 'development', by adopting radical social and economic reforms or "revolutions from above" as described by Gramsci and Trimberger,²⁰⁴ and later by Raymond Hinnebush in his study Syria the Revolution from Above. 205 In Syria, the goal was to strengthen military rule and limit the power and influence of the traditional ruling elite, made up of notables and landowners, especially since the majority of military officers came from poor rural backgrounds and would stand to benefit from agrarian reform and nationalisation policies. In Syria, Egypt, Iraq, Algeria and Sudan, the military adopted socialist and left-wing political programs in their struggle against the traditional elite. These political systems emulated those of Turkey's Ataturk and Egypt's Abdel Nasser, thereby earning the name "populist nationalism". 206 However, these new regimes, which built their discourses on equality and integration of the lower and middle classes in the struggle against the old hegemonic elite, were not 'populist' in terms of representing the people's interests. Rather, their intrinsic contradiction lies in their quest to mobilize the masses and control them at the same time.²⁰⁷

It can therefore be reasoned that since the military's conflict with the traditional ruling elite was on a class and ideological basis, then its conflict with other ideological elites, with similar social backgrounds and left-wing socialist orientations, was primarily over strategies and methods of social, political and economic change. And second, it was a struggle for power. This explains the suppression of other ideological groups and the struggle to monopolize power.

On the other hand, the failure to establish a truly democratic civilian state, from independence until the present day, has prevented the establishment of a truly independent military institution. Moreover, the failure of politicians to establish a functioning state apparatus, and the military's mistrust of politicians due to the latter's partisan and power struggles that disregard public interest, prompted the army to repeatedly intervene in politics, under the pretext of protecting the country's independence and unity. As a result, however, these interventions impeded the consolidation of the emerging national state and overthrew its nascent semi-democratic system,

which could have been the beginning of a pluralist democratic system and a developed constitution.

The French mandate established an army that was fragmented along sectarian, tribal and ethnic divisions. In the period between independence and the early 1960s, the most politically pluralist phase in Syrian history, the army was politicized and divided between blocs and factions. Ideological affiliation was predominant, and politics was an integral part of public life. Competition between political and military elites was primarily on a political and ideological basis that was, at times, guided by local affiliations. The structure of the ruling elite radically changed in the 1960s, as previously marginalised social groups came to power. The semi-democratic system post-independence allowed them to enter schools and military academies and join radical parties. Consequently, political parties that rose to power followed a national socialist discourse and adopted policies of nationalisation and agrarian reform, thus shifting the centre of power and influence from the city to the countryside under the slogan of socialism. In addition, the army was subject to 'Ba'athification' under the guise of creating an "ideological army," with factionalism taking on an implicit sectarian and regional form. Under al-Asad, the military blended with the state and the ruling sect, as the regime tightened its control over society, the state and its institutions. Although Parliament was

nominally representational of Syrian society, representatives could not be channels of communication between the people and the state, as their allocation was governed by their degree of loyalty to the regime. The same applies to other positions within political and military institutions.

Throughout these different stages of Syria's contemporary history, consistent processes of exclusion and purges between and within the military and politics continued to exhaust both institutions. This led to an abandonment of the semi-democratic system, pluralism, and individual and press freedoms. In return, the military paid the price for internal and external political conflicts with its officers and soldiers, when it integrated itself into political processes and became one of the competing interest groups, instead of abiding by its duties to repel external aggression and protect state sovereignty.

Today, a hundred years after the establishment of modern Syria, multiple regimes, and decades of overlap and conflict between the military and politics, where each side adopted a discourse that gave itself legitimacy under slogans of patriotism and protecting the interests of the people and the country, everyone seems to have lost. Political and military factionalism has expanded, with politics entering the realm of armament and military practices, and the military being plagued with politicization and sectarianism. Today more than ever before,

Syria is an arena for regional and international conflict and foreign intervention. There has been no consensus on an inclusive national identity, and no constitutional government subject to separation of powers has been established. By assessing the histories of both political and military institutions in Syria, this study has sought to contribute to a future that learns from the mistakes of the past and its disastrous consequences for present-day Syria.

Arabic References

Abdel Aal, Sayyid. Al-Inqilabat al-askariya fi Suriyya 1949-1954 [Military Coup d'états in Syria 1949-1954]. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2007. Abdulla, Ahmad. "Namouthaj al-tahawol mn nizam 'askari ila nizam madani fi amrika al-latiniya" [The Transformation Model of Latin America from Military to Civilian Rule]. Siyassa Dawlia Magazine, no.67 (1986): 87.

Abu Fakhr, Saqr. *Suriyyaa wa hutam al-marakib al-mubaethara* [Syria and the Wreckage of Scattered Ships]. Beirut: Arab Institute for Research and Publishing, 2005.

Abu Mansour, Fadlallah. *A'asir Dimashq* [Hurricanes of Damascus]. Beirut: Mothakarat, 1959.

Al-Ashi, Suheil. *Fajr al-istiqlal fi Suriyya* [Dawn of Syrian Independence]. Beirut: Dar Al Nafaes for Publications, 1999.

Al-Azm, Khalid. Mothakarat khalid al-azm [The Memoirs of Khalid al-Azm]. Vol.1. Beirut: al-Dar al-Motaheda lil-Nasher, 2003.

Al-Azm, Khalid. Mothakarat khalid al-azm [The Memoirs of Khalid al-Azm]. Vol.2. Beirut: al-Dar al-Motaheda lil-Nasher, 2003.

Al-Azm, Khalid. Mothakarat khalid al-azm [The Memoirs of Khalid al-Azm]. Vol.3. Beirut: al-Dar al-Motaheda lil-Nasher, 2003.

Al-Faisal, Yousef. Thikrayat wa mawaqef [Memoirs and Stands].

Damascus: Dar Noun, 2011.

Al-Hafiz, Yasin. *Al-Hazimah wal ideologia al mahzoumah* [The Defeat and the Defeated Ideology]. Damascus: Dar al-Hasad, 1997.

Al-Hafiz, Yasin. *Al-Aamal al-kamilah* [The Full Works]. Beirut: Center for Arab Unity Studies, 2005.

Al-Hourani, Akram. *Mothakarat akram al-hourani* [The Memoirs of Akram al-Hourani]. Vol.1. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2000.

Al-Hourani, Akram. Mothakarat akram al-hourani [The Memoirs of Akram

al-Hourani]. Vol.2. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2000.

Al-Hourani, Akram. Mothakarat akram al-hourani [The Memoirs of Akram

al-Hourani]. Vol.3. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2000.

Al-Hourani, Akram. Mothakarat akram al-hourani [The Memoirs of Akram

al-Hourani]. Vol.4. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2000.

Al-Jundi, Sami. AL-Ba'ath. Beirut: Dar an-Nahar, 1969.

Al-Kayyali, Abd al-Wahhab. Al-mawsu'a al-siyasia [The Political

Encyclopaedia]. Vol.1. Arab Institute for Research and Publishing, 1979.

Al-Khair, Hani. Adib al-Shishakli al-bidaya wal nihaya [Adib al-Shishakli:

The Beginning and the End]. Damascus: al-Inshaa' Publishers, 1994.

Al-Razzaz, Munif. *Al-A'mal al-fikriya wal siyasiyya: Al-tajruba al-murra* [Intellectual and Political Works: The Bitter Experience]. Amman: Munif al-Razzaz Institute for National Studies, 1986.

Al-Sabeh, Hasan. *Thakira Arabiya lil qarn al'ishreen* [Arab Memories of the 20th Century]. Beirut: Arab Centre for Research and Public Policy, 2000. Al Zghayare, Khouloud. *Suriyya al-dawla wal hawiyya: Qira'a hawla mafaheem al-umma wal qawmiya wal dawla al-wataniah fi al-wa'i al-siyasi al-Suri 1946-1963* [Syria, the State and Identity: A Reading in the Concepts of Nation, Nationalism, and National State in Syrian Political

Consciousness 1946-1963]. Doha: Arab Center for Research and Public Policy, 2020.

Al-Zirikli, Khair al-Din. *Al-Aalam* [Notables]. Vol.2. Beirut: Dar al-Malayeen, 1980.

Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party, Syria Regional Branch, Regional Command. *Azmat al-harb wa harakat 23 Shubat wa in'iqad al-mo'tamar al-qutri al-akhir* [Crisis of War, the Movement of 23 February, and the Last Regional Congress]. Damascus, 1966.

Awad, Jaber Sayed. "al-'askar wal siyasa fi amrika al-janoubiya" [Military and Politics in South America]. *Siyassa Dawlia Magazine*, no.67 (1982): 42. Ayubi, Nazih N. M. *Tadkhim al-dawla al-Arabiya: al-siyasa wal mojtama' fi al-sharq al-awsat* [Over-Stating the Arab State: Politics and Society in the Middle East]. Translated by Amjad Hussein and Faleh Abdul Jabbar and Arab Organization for Translation. Beirut: Centre for Arab Unity Studies, 2010.

Barout, Jamal. "Halab fi Al-tarikh: Wilaya Othmania istaqtabat al-hayat alsiyasia wal iqtisadia" [Aleppo in History: An Ottoman Province that Drew in Political and Economic Prosperity]. *Alhayat*, April 30, 2000. http://www.alhayat.com/article/1041253

Batatu, Hanna. *Syria's Peasantry, the Descendants of its Lesser Rural Notables, and Their Politics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999. Bishara, Azmi. *Suriyya:* Darb al-alam nahwal huriyya. Mohawala fi altarikh al-rahin Azar 2011-Azar 2013 [Syria: Painful Path Towards Freedom. An Attempt at Contemporary History March 2011-March 2013]. Beirut: Arab Centre for Research and Policy Studies, 2013. Deeb, Kamal. Tarikh Suriyya a-lmu'asir [Modern History of Syria]. Beirut: Dar an-Nahar, 2011.

Documents of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 218, file 3, vol.6, report no.6, March 30, 1949. El-Khoury, Fouad Isaac. *Military and Rule in Arab Countries*. London: Dar al-Saqi, 1990.

Fansa, Bashir. *Al-nakbat wal moghamarat: Tarikh ma ahmalaho al-tarikh mn asrar al-inqilabat al-'askariya al-Suriyya 1949-1958* [Cataclysm and Adventures: The Neglected Historical Secrets of Syrian Military Coups 1949-1958]. Damascus: Dar Yarob Publishers for Research and Distribution, 1996.

Fansa, Nazir. *Ayyam Husni al-Za'im* [The Husni al-Za'im Days]. Beirut: Dar al-Afaq al-Jadida, 1982.

Farzat, Mohamad Harb. *al-Hayat al-hizbia fi Suriyya-Dirasa tarikhia linushu' al-ahzab al-siyasia wa tatawuriha 1908-1955* [Political Parties in Syria: A Historic Study on the Establishment and Expansion of Political Parties 1908-1955]. 2nd ed. Beirut: Arab Centre for Research and Policy Studies, 2019.

Ghalioun, Burham. *Fi al-nukhba wal sha'ab: Hiwar ajrah ma' Louay al-Hussein* [On the Elite and the People: A Conversation with Louay al-Hussein]. Damascus: Dar Petra, 2010.

Hanna, Abdullah. *Al'ahzab al-siyasia fi Suriyya al-qarn al-ishrin* wa'ajwa'iha al-ijtima'ia [20th Century Political Parties in Syria and their Social Environments], London: Dar Qotb, 2011.

Hanna, Abdullah. *Al-haraka al-omalia fi Suryyaia wa Lubnan 1900-1945* [The Workers' Movement in Syria and Lebanon]. Damascus: Dar Dimashq, 1973.

Khdouri, Majid. *Mo'asirun* [Contemporaries]. Beirut: al-Dar al-Motaheda lil-Nasher, 1973.

Kheder, Ahmad Ibrahim. *'Ilm al-ijtimaa' al-askari* [Military Sociology]. Cairo: Dar al-Maaref, 1980.

Khoury, Colette. Awraq Faris al-Khoury – al-kitab al-awal 1877-1918 nash'at al-Faris walthawra al-Arabia al-Kubra [Faris al-Khoury Papers: Book One 1877-1918, Faris' Upbringing and the Great Arab Revolt]. 2nd ed. Damascus: Ministry of Culture, 2017.

Khoury, Philip S. *Suriyya wal intidab al Faransi: siyasat al-qawmiya al-Arabiya* [Syria and the French Mandate: The Politics of Arab Nationalism 1920-1945]. Translated by Arab Research Institute. Beirut: Arab Research Institute Publishing, 1997.

Khoury, Philip S. *Urban Notables and Arab Nationalism: The Politics of Damascus 1860-1920*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.

Kurd Ali, Muhammad. Khutat al-Sham [Damascus Plans]. Vol.6

(Damascus: al-Nouri Bookstore, 1983.

Laurens, Henry. *al-Lo'ba al-kubra: al-mashreq al-Arabi wal atma' al-duwaliya* [The Big Game: The Arab Orient and International Rivalries]. Translate by Abd al-Hakim al-Arbid and Rajab Budabous. 2nd ed. Libya: Ad-dar Al-Jamahiriya for Publishing, 1993.

Maarouf, Mohammad. *Ayyam 'ishtouha 1949-1969 al-inqilabat al-askariya wa asraroha fi Suriyya* [Days I witnessed 1949-1969: Military Coups and their Secrets in Syria]. Beirut: Riyad El-Rayyes Books, 2003.

Malla, Ezz el Din. Hay al-Akrad fi Madinat Dimashq bayn 'amay 1250-1979 Dirasa tarikhiya ijtima'ia iqtisadiya [The Kurdish Quarter in Damascus between 1250-1979: A Historical, Social and Economic Study]. Beirut: Dar Aswa, 1998.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 244, file 10, vol.4, report no.2, January 2, 1952.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 244, file 10, vol.3, report no.208, December 5, 1952.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 244, file 10, vol.3, report no.9, January 8, 1952.

Naddaf, Imad. *Khalid Bakdash yatahaddath* [Khalid Bakdash Talks]. Damascus: Ministry of Culture, 1993.

Othman, Hashim. *al-Ahzab al-siyasiya fi Suriyya: al-sirriya wal mo'lana* [Secret and Disclosed Political Parties in Syria]. Beirut: Dar Riyad al-Rayyis, 2001.

Owen, P, Jonathan. "Akram al-Hourani: A Study of Syrian Politics 1943-1954," PhD diss. John Hopkins University, 1992.

Qarqut, Dhuqan. *Tatawor al-haraka al-wataniya fi Suriyya 1920-1939* [Evolution of the Syrian Nationalist Movement 1920-1939]. Beirut: Dar al-Tale'a, 1975.

Reissner, Johannes. Al-harakat al-Islamiah fi Suriyya: mn al-arb'inat wa hata 'ahd al-Shishakli [Islamic Movements in Syria: From the 1940s to Shishakli's Rule]. Translated by Mohammad Ibrahim al-Atassi. Beirut: Riyad El-Rayyes Books, 2005.

Rey, Mattheiu. "Qiyam al-anzima al'askariya fi al-Sharq al-Awsat: I'adat qira'a fi 'zaman al-thawrat': moqabala ma' Henry laurens" [The Birth of Military Regimes in the Middle East: Reinterpreting the 'Age of Revolutions': Interview with Henry Laurens]. Translated by Khouloud Al Zghayare. *Al-Jumhuriya*, August 18, 2018. https://www.aljumhuriya.net/ar Saad al-Din, Adnan. *Al'Ikhwan al-Muslimin fi Suriyyaa dhikrayat wa modhkarat: ma qabl al-ta'asis wahataa 'am 1954* [The Muslim Brotherhood in Syria Memories and Memoirs: Pre-Establishment until 1954]. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2010.

Saghiya, Hazim. *Al-Ba'ath al-Souri* [Syrian Ba'ath]. Beirut: Dar al-Saqi, 2011.

Salamé, Ghassan. Al-Mojtama' wal dawla fi al-Mashreq al-Arabi [State and Society in the Arab Levant]. Beirut: Centre for Arab Unity Studies, 1987. Saqqal, Fathallah. *Mn thikrayat hukomat al-za'im Husni al-Za'im*: khawater wa ara' [Remembering Leader Husni al-Za'im's Rule: Ideas and Opinions]. Cairo: Dar al-Maaref, 1951.

Seale, Patrick. *Asad of Syria: The Struggle for the Middle East*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1995.

Seale, Patrick. *The Struggle for Syria: A Study of Post-War Arab Politics* 1945-1958. London: I.B. Tauris, 1987.

The Baghdad Pact Documents, Files 3 & 4, *People's Court Books*, Iraqi Ministry of Defence.

London: Dar Al Jabiya, 2008.

Torrey, Gordon H. al-Siyasa al-Suriyya wal askariyoun 1945-1958 [Syrian Politics and the Military 1945-1958]. Translated by Mahmoud Fallaha. Dar Al Jamaheer, 1969.

Umran, Muhammad. Tajrobati fi al-thawra [My Experience in the Revolution]. Beirut: Dar al-Jeel, 1970.

Van Dam, Nikolaos. *al-Sira' ala al-sulta fi Suriyya: al-Ta'ifiya wal anshita al-asha'iria fi al-siyasa* [Struggle for Power in Syria: Sectarianism and Clan Activities in Politics]. Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 1995.

Von Grunebaum, Gustave. *Hadarat al-Islam* [The Civilization of Islam]. Translated by Abd al-Aziz Jawid and Abd al-Hamid al-Abadi. Cairo: General Egyptian Book Organization, 1994.

Zahreddine, Abdul Karim. *Mothakarati 'an fatrat al-infisal fi Suriyya ma bayn 28 Ayloul 1961 wa 8 Athar 1963* [My Memoirs of the Secessionist Period in Syria between September 28, 1961 and March 8, 1963]. Beirut: Dar al-Ittihad, 1968.

Zaiter, Akram. "Itifaq al-Arab alaa wade Lubnan al-khas" [Arab Agreement on Lebanon's Special Status]. *al-Hawadith*, no.978 (1975): 66

Zayn al-Abidin, Bashir. *al-Jaish wal siyasah fi Suriyya 1918-2000: Dirasah naqdiah* [The Military and Politics in Syria 1918-2000: A Critical Study].

Zuhair al-Din, Saleh. *Mawsu'at rijalat min bilad al-Arab* [Encyclopaedia of Prominent Men from the Arab World]. Beirut: Arab Institute for Research and Documentation, 2001.

English and French References

Al-Akhrass, Safouh. Revolutionary change and modernization in the Arab World: A case from Syria. Broché, 31 December 1971.

Ayubi, Nazih N. M. Over-stating the Arab State: Politics and Society in the Middle East. New York: I. B. Tauris, 1995.

Batatu, Hanna. "Some Observations on the Social Roots of Syria's Ruling Military Group and the Cause for its Dominance". *The Middle East Journal* 35 (Summer 1981).

Be'eri, Eliezer. Army Officers in Arab Politics and Society. Pall Mall Press, 1970.

Bounacklie, N.E. "Les Troupe Specialles. Religious and Ethnic Recruitment, 1916-1946", *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 25 (1993).

Fisher, Sydney. *Social forces in the Middle East*. New York: Cornell University Press, 1955.

Hershlag, Z. Y. Introduction to the Modern Economic History of the Middle East. Leiden, 1964.

Himadeh, Sa'id B. *The Monetary and Banking System in Syria*. Beirut, 1935. Hinnebush, Raymond. *Authoritarian Power and State Formation in Ba'thist Syria: Army, Party and Peasant*. Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press, 1990.

Hinnebush, Raymond. *Syria the Revolution from above*. Translated by Hazim Nahar. Beirut: Riad El-Rayyes Books, 2011.

Hurewitz, J. C. *Middle East Politics: The Military Dimension*. New York: Frederick A. Praeger, 1969.

Khoury, Philip S. *Urban notables and Arab nationalism. The politics of Damascus 1860-1920.* Cambridge University Press, 1983.

La documentation française, Bulletin Quotidien, R. No. 2053, 1951.

Lesch, David W. Syria and the United States, Eisenhower's Cold War in the Middle East. Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press, 1992.

M.A.E.F.(L.F. a Damas) serie Y., dossier 2A., volume 36, R.No. 297, 1949.

Moussa, Mounir. *Etude sociologique des Alaouites ou Nosaïris*, Paris, 1958, 2 vol., sous la direction de R. Aron, t.II.

Nara. G 84, CF 350, Joint WEEKAs, 8 and 15 April 1949.

Owen, Roger. "The Role of the Army in Middle Eastern Politics". *Review of Middle East Studies*, no. 5. London: Ithaca Press, 1978.

Petran, Tabitha. Syria. London: E. Benn; New York: Praeger, 1972.

Pipes, D. "The Alawi Capture of Power in Syria". *Middle Eastern Studies* 25, 1989.

Rabinovich, Itamar. Syria Under the Ba'th, 1963-66: The Army Party Symbiosis. Transaction Publishers, 1972.

SalibiI, K. S. "The 1860 Upheaval in Damascus as Seen by al-Sayyid Muhammad Abu'l Su'ud al-Hasibi, Notable and later Naqib al-Ashraf of the City". In W. R. Polk and R.L. Chambers (eds), *Beginnings of Modernization in the Middle East: The Nineteenth Century*. Chicago, 1968.

Saunders, Bonnie. *The United States and Arab Nationalism, the Syrian case,* 1953-1960. Westport: Prager.

Seale, Patrick. *Asad: The Struggle for the Middle East.* University of California Press, 1989.

Steppat, Fritz. "Some Arabic Manuscript Sources on Syrian Crisis of 1860". In J. Berque and D. Chevallier (eds.), *Les Arabes par leurs archives*. Paris, 1976.

Torrey, Gordon. *Syrian politics and the military, 1945-1958*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 1964.

Van Dusen, Michael H. *Intra- and Inter-generational Conflict in the Syrian Army*. Johns Hopkins University, 1971.

Al Zghayare, Khouloud. *Les élites politiques syriennes (1946-1963) Discours et pratiques*, Thèse de Doctorat non publiée, Université Sorbonne Nouvelle-Paris 3, 2017.

⁴The Ottoman countercoup of 1909 was engineered by the First Army Corps, loyal to Sultan Abdul Hamid II and was supported by religious elements in Istanbul. It is known as *al-fitnah al-irtija'iya*. News of the coup was celebrated by the movement of religious scholars and its followers in the Damascus neighborhood of Al-Midan who set out to kill local unionists. After the coup, the General Assembly met on April 27, 1909. They deposed Sultan Abdul Hamid II, and installed his brother, Muhammad Rashad V. See, Khoury, *Urban notables and Arab nationalism*, 57.

¹ Acknowledgement: This publication was made possible by a grant (grant identifier: G-18-55718) from Carnegie Corporation of New York for the LSE based project Legitimacy and citizenship in the Arab world research project http://dustoor.org/. The statements made and views expressed are solely the responsibility of the author.

² In 1860, an outbreak of violence rocked Damascus for a period of eight days. The main target was the ancient Christian quarter of Bab Tuma. The sociopolitical uprising that had erupted in Mount Lebanon two years prior in 1858 had spilled over into Damascus. This event had resounding effects on political developments in Damascus for generations to come. See, Philip S. Khoury, Urban Notables and Arab Nationalism: The Politics of Damascus 1860-1920 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 8; K. S. Salibi, "The 1860 Upheaval in Damascus as Seen by al-Sayyid Muhammad Abu'l Su'ud al-Hasibi, Notable and Later Nagib al-Ashraf of the City," in W.R. Polk and R.L. Chambers, eds., Beginnings of Modernization in the Middle East: The Nineteenth Century, (Chicago: 1968), 197; Fritz Steppat, "Some Arabic Manuscript Sources on Syrian Crisis of 1860," in Les Arabes par leurs archives, ed. J. Berque and D. Chevallier (Paris, 1976), 189. ³Factions of the Ottoman Army mounted a coup against Sultan Abdul Hamid II in Istanbul, after the Committee of Union and Progress infiltrated the ranks of the Third Ottoman Army in Thessaloniki. The coup forced Sultan Abdul Hamid II to restore the constitution and reinstate the General Assembly.

⁵ King Faisal's government in Syria lasted from October 1918 until June 1920

⁶ Dhuqan Qarqut, *Tatawor al-haraka al-wataniya fi Suriyya 1920-1939* [Evolution of the Syrian Nationalist Movement 1920-1939] (Beirut: Dar al-Tale'a, 1975), 11-12.

⁷ Burhan Ghalioun, *Fi al-nukhba wal sha'ab: Hiwar ajrah ma' Louay al-Hussein* [On the Elite and the People: A Conversation with Louay al-Hussein] (Damascus: Dar Petra, 2010), 30.

⁸ Seven political figures formed the nucleus of the National Bloc: Ihsan al-Sharif (Damascus); Ibrahim Hananu and Abd al-Rahman al-Kayyali (Aleppo); Najib al-Barazi and Abdul Qader al-Keilani (Hama); Mazhar Arslan and Hashim al-Atassi (Homs). See, Philip S. Khoury, Suriyya wal intidab al Faransi: siyasat al-qawmiya al-Arabiya [Syria and the French Mandate: The Politics of Arab Nationalism 1920-1945], trans. Arab Research Institute (Beirut: Arab Research Institute Publishing, 1997), 297. ⁹ France granted amnesty to Syrian revolutionary leaders in March 1928. Those who thereafter joined the National Bloc include Fawzi al-Ghazi, Lutfi al-Haffar and Faris al-Khoury (Damascus); Husni al-Barazi (Hama); Saadallah al-Jabiri (Aleppo). A second conference was held in Damascus in March to announce the participation of this coalition in the constituent assembly elections. Political activists that joined the ranks of the National Bloc include: Fakhri al-Baroudi, Zaki al-Khatib, Ahmad al-Laham, Afif el-Solh, Fayez al-Khoury, Mohammad al-Nahhas and Jamil Mardam Bey (Damascus); Tawfiq al-Shishakli (Hama); Ahmad al-Rifai, Abd al-Qader al-Sarmini, Hasan Fouad, Ibrahim Pasha and Jamal Ibrahim Pasha (Aleppo). Ibid.

¹⁰ The treaty of 1936 was known as the Franco-Syrian Treaty of Independence. It was a result of negotiations between the National Bloc and the mandate authority in Damascus following the sixty-day nationwide strike in February 1936. The treaty provided for Syrian independence, emancipation from the French Mandate, as well as friendly relations and an alliance between the two countries. Negotiations took place in Paris between March and September 1936. Hashim al-Atassi headed the National Bloc delegation which included Jamil Mardam Bey, Saadallah al-Jabiri, Faris al-Khoury, Mustafa al-Shihabi and Edmond Homsi. Accompanying the delegation were lawyers Edmond Rabbat and Naim Antaki, political advisors, and Khalid Bakdash, head of the Syrian Communist Party. The treaty was ratified by the Syrian parliament in December 1936. The French parliament, however, failed to ratify the treaty as World War II broke out.

¹¹ Qarqut, *The Evolution of the Syrian Nationalist Movement*, 102-103.

¹² Mohamad Harb Farzat, *al-Hayat al-hizbia fi Suriyya-Dirasa tarikhia linushu' al-ahzab al-siyasia wa tatawuriha 1908-1955* [Political Parties in Syria: A Historic Study on the Establishment and Expansion of Political Parties 1908-1955], 2nd ed. (Beirut: Arab Centre for Research and Policy Studies, 2019), 206.

¹³ Khoury, Syria and the French Mandate, 298.

¹⁴ L'Orient-Le Jour, August 7, 1948.

¹⁵ Farzat, *Political Parties in Syria*, 211.

¹⁶ According to Jamal Barout, members of the People's Party established a joint stock company, Al-Shahba Cement and Building Materials, in 1948. They established a second company in 1954, the National Cement

Company, during a period of industrial, commercial and agricultural growth, taking advantage of customs policies and the nationalization of foreign capital to promote company growth. See, Jamal Barout, "Halab fi al-tarikh: Wilaya Othmania istaqtabat al-hayat al-siyasia wal iqtisadia" [Aleppo in History: An Ottoman Province that Drew in Political and Economic Prosperity, Alhayat, April 30, 2000.

- ¹⁷ Farzat, *Political Parties in Syria*, 212.
- ¹⁸ Khouloud Al Zghayare, "Les élites politiques syriennes (1946-1963) Discours et pratiques" [Syrian Political Elites 1946-1963: Discourse and Practice], PhD diss., (Université Sorbonne Nouvelle-Paris, 2017).
- ¹⁹ Khoury, Syria and the French Mandate, 691.
- ²⁰ Unemployment in areas under the French Mandate affected 150,000 people, around 15 percent of the work force. See, Z. Y. Hershlag, *Introduction to the Modern Economic History of the Middle East* (Leiden, 1964), 231.
- ²¹ Sa'id B. Himadeh, *The Monetary and Banking System in Syria* (Beirut, 1935), 219. On the economic crisis in Syria, see Khoury, *Syria and the French Mandate*, 447-450.
- ²² For a list of attendees, see Akram Zaiter, "Itifaq al-Arab alaa wade Lubnan al-khas" [Arab Agreement on Lebanon's Special Status], *al-Hawadith*, no.978 (1975): 66.
- ²³ Khoury, Syria and the French Mandate, 451.
- ²⁴ Ibid.
- ²⁵ Lawyers in the League included Sabri al-Asali, Abd al-Razzaq al-Dandashi, Jamil al-Jabi, Fahmi al-Mahayri, Ahmad al-Shihabi, Shafiq Sulayman, Farid Zeineddiene, Ghaleb al-Azm, Muhsin al-Barazi, Mustafa Hourani, and Adnan, Makram and Helmi al-Atassi. Ibid.
- ²⁶ Hanna Batatu, *Syria's Peasantry, the Descendants of its Lesser Rural Notables, and Their Politics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press,1999), 133.
- ²⁷ Saqr Abu Fakhr, *Suriyyaa wa hutam al-marakib al-mubaethara* [Syria and the Wreckage of Scattered Ships] (Beirut: Arab Institute for Research and Publishing, 2005), 97.
- ²⁸ Sami al-Jundi, *AL-Ba'ath* (Beirut: Dar an-Nahar, 1969), 38.
- ²⁹ Wahib al-Ghanim, *Al-Monadel Magazine*, no.90-97 October/December 1976; al-Ghanim, *Al-Monadel Magazine*, January/May 1977.
- ³⁰ Abu Fakhr, Syria and the Wreckage of Scattered Ships, 110.
- ³¹ Patrick Seale, *Asad of Syria: The Struggle for the Middle East* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1995), 28.
- ³² Abdullah Hanna, *Al'ahzab al-siyasia fi Suriyya al-qarn al-ishrin wa'ajwa'iha al-ijtima'ia* [20th Century Political Parties in Syria and their Social Environments], (London: Dar Qotb, 2011), Chapter 3.

³³ Batatu, *Syria's Peasantry*, 29. See also, Akram al-Hourani, *mothakarat akram al-hourani* [The Diaries of Akram al-Hourani]. Vol.2 (Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2000), 1737.

³⁴ Johnathan P. Owen, "Akram al-Hourani: A Study of Syrian Politics 1943-1954," PhD diss., (John Hopkins University, 1992).

³⁵ Antoun Saadeh was from a Lebanese Christian Orthodox family. He pursued a secondary education, moving between Lebanon and Cairo. After World War I, he left for the United States in 1919. He had to leave college in order to provide for his family, and worked as a railway inspector. In 1921, he moved to Brazil where he helped his father, Dr. Khalil Saadeh, edit al-Majalla and al-Jarida newspapers. Despite never completing his education, Saadeh mastered several languages. He read books on history, philosophy, social sciences and literature in their original languages. He returned to Lebanon in July 1930, then moved to Damascus in 1931 where he edited the Damascus newspaper al-Ayyam. In 1932, he returned to Beirut to secretly establish the Syrian Social Nationalist Party under the pseudonym 'The Syrian People's Party'. Party activities initially took place among students at the American University of Beirut, where Saadeh taught German. His discourse spread, prompting hundreds of people to join his party. In 1935, the party was exposed by mandate authorities. Saadeh and a number of followers were arrested and issued with various sentences, the maximum being a six-month imprisonment for Saadeh. He was repeatedly arrested between 1935 and 1937. He decided to leave the country in 1938, first to Brazil and then to Argentina where he remained until 1947. He returned to Lebanon following the country's independence from France, and founded al-Jil al-Jadid newspaper. He was accused of organising an armed insurrection in June 1949, and was persecuted by the Lebanese authorities. Saadeh moved to Damascus where, on July 4, 1949, he declared the first nationalist social revolution against the rule of tyranny, corruption, and fraud in Lebanon. He was subsequently handed over by Husni al-Za'im to the Lebanese authorities on July 7, 1949. He was given a quick trial and sentenced to death within 24 hours. Saadeh's execution took place at dawn on July 8, 1949. See, "Hayat al-Za'im Antoun Saadeh" [Life of the Leader Antoun Saadeh], Bashir Mousalli, The Syrian Social Nationalist Party website, accessed March 11, 2009; Khair al-Din al-Zirikli, Al-Aalam [Notables], Vol.2 (Beirut: Dar al-Malayeen, 1980), 27-28; Saleh Zuhair al-Din, Mawsu'at Rijalat min Bilad al-Arab [Encyclopaedia of Prominent Men from the Arab World] (Beirut: Arab Institute for Research and Documentation, 2001), 102-109; Abd al-Wahhab al-Kayvali, Al-Mawsu'a al-Siyasia [The Political Encyclopaedia], Vol.1 (Arab Institute for Research and Publishing, 1979), 364-365.

³⁶ Kamal Deeb, T*arikh Suriyya al-Mu'asir* [Modern History of Syria] (Beirut: Dar an-Nahar, 2011), 83-86.

³⁷ Abdullah Hanna, *Al-Haraka al-Umalia fi Suriyya wa Lubnan 1900-1945* [The Workers' Movement in Syria and Lebanon] (Damascus: Dar Dimashq, 1973).

³⁸ Maktab Anbar was known under the Ottomans as the Royal Preparatory School. It is said that the house was originally the property of Mahmoud Pasha al-Quwatli, who handed it over to his Jewish accountant, Youssef Anbar, due to debt issues. Other sources claim that it was the property of a wealthy Jew named Youssef Anbar. It was seized by the Ottoman government due to debt issues, who proceeded to turn it into a preparatory school in 1887. From the end of the 19th century until the beginning of World War II, Maktab Anbar was home to the educated people of Syria, as it was the only official high school in the country. It included the most prominent educators and provided a secondary education to students from all over. In 1936, classes were moved to al-Tajheez School (Jawdat al-Hashimi), and Maktab Anbar was made into a girls' school and renamed al-Tajheez Institute for Women's Arts. In 1985, Maktab Anbar became a hub for cultural activities and became known as the Palace of Culture. See, Colette Khoury, Awraq Faris al-Khoury – al-Kitab al-Awal 1877-1918 Nash'at al-Faris wa al-Thawra al-Arabia al-Kubra [Faris al-Khoury Papers: Book One 1877-1918, Faris' Upbringing and the Great Arab Revolt], 2nd ed. (Damascus: Ministry of Culture, 2017), 78-80; Muhammad Kurd Ali, Khutat al-Sham [Damascus Plans], Vol.6 (Damascus: al-Nouri Bookstore, 1983), 102.

³⁹ Ezz el Din Malla, 137.

⁴⁰ MWT, *Registre des jugements du Tribunal de 1ere Instance Correctionnelle 1931 et 1932* [Register of Judgements of the Correctional Court of Tribunal of First Instance 1931 and 1932], 210-211.

Imad Naddaf, *Khalid Bakdash yatahaddath* [Khalid Bakdash Talks]
 (Damascus: Ministry of Culture, 1993), 26-27.
 Ibid.

⁴³ See, Patrick Seale, *The Struggle for Syria: A Study of Post-War Arab Politics 1945-1958* (London: I.B. Tauris, 1987), 42; Abu Fakhr, *Syria and the Wreckage*, 131; al-Hourani, *Diaries*, 788

⁴⁴ Khoury, Syria and the French Mandate, 671.

⁴⁵ Associations in Damascus include al-Gharraa Charity Association (1924), al-Tamaddon Islamic Association (1932), al-Hidaya al-Islamiya Association (1936) and al-Ulema Association (1938). Associations in Homs include Shabab Muhammad (1934), founded by Abu al-Suoud Abd al-Salam. Associations in Aleppo include Dar al-Arqam (1936), founded by Bahaa al-Din al-Amiri. It later became Dar al-Shuban al-Muslimeen. See, Adnan Saad al-Din, *Al-Ikhwan al-Muslimin fi Suriya Dhikrayat wa Mudhkarat: Ma Qabl al-Ta'sis wa hata 'am 1954* [The Muslim Brotherhood in Syria

Memories and Diaries: Pre-Establishment until 1954] (Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2010) 55-63.

⁴⁶ Mustafa al-Siba'i grew up in a religious family in Homs, where his father, Husni al-Siba'i, was imam of the Great Mosque of al-Nuri. He founded charitable associations and was a supporter of the National Movement. He completed his secondary education in Homs and moved to Cairo in 1933 to study Islamic law at al-Azhar University, earning a doctorate from the Faculty of Shari'a and Law in 1949. Al-Siba'i had been involved in political activities early on, establishing secret societies that antagonized missionary schools and French and British colonialism. He was repeatedly arrested between 1931 and 1943 in Syria, Egypt, and Palestine. When he was released from prison in 1943, he had developed a chronic illness due to years of torture and hard labour. While his health deteriorated, he continued his work in teaching, writing, and editing. He led the Muslim Brotherhood and participated in the 1948 Arab-Israeli War. He was a member of the Constitutional Assembly that was elected in 1949, and which later became the Syrian Parliament in 1950. See, Gustave von Grunebaum, Hadarat al-Islam [The Civilization of Islam] trans. Abd al-Aziz Jawid and Abd al-Hamid al-Abadi (Cairo: General Egyptian Book Organization, 1994), 483; Johannes Reissner, Al-Harakat al-Islamiah fi Suriya: min al-Arba'inat wa hata 'Ahd al-Shishakli [Islamic Movements in Syria: From the 1940s to Shishakli's Rule], trans. Mohammad Ibrahim al-Atassi (Beirut: Riyad El-Rayyes Books, 2005), 150.

⁴⁷ Reissner, *Islamic Movements in Syria*, 137.

⁴⁸ Ibid, 138.

⁴⁹ Al- Zghayare, "Syrian Political Elites.".

⁵⁰ The handover process was not smooth. While many officers abandoned the French army and immediately joined nationalist forces, others rejected the handover, rebelled and caused chaos upon being notified of their dismissal from the army, especially those belonging to the 'additional troops'. As for Syrian soldiers who remained with the French upon their withdrawal to the Bekaa Valley, they were given two options. They could either continue to serve under the French Army until retirement, or they could return to Syria and serve under the national government. The latter were rewarded with promotions and compensations. See, Suheil al-Ashi, *Fajr al-Istiqlal fi Suriya* [Dawn of Syrian Independence] (Beirut: Dar Al Nafaes for Publications, 1999), 57; Bashir Zayn al-Abidin, *al-Jaysh wa al-Siyasah fi Suriya 1918-2000: Dirasah Naqdiyyah* [The Military and Politics in Syria 1918-2000: A Critical Study] (London: Dar Al Jabiya, 2008), 119-120.

⁵¹ Gordon H. Torrey, *al-Siyasah al-Suriyyah wa al-'Askariyyun* 1945-1958 [Syrian Politics and the Military 1945-1958], trans. Mahmoud Fallaha (Dar Al Jamaheer, 1969), 52.

⁵² N.E. Bounacklie, "Les Troupe Specialles. Religious and Ethnic Recruitment, 1916-1946", *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 25 (1993): 656; Batatu, *Syria's Peasantry*, 304.

⁵³ Deeb, *Modern History of Syria*, 54-55; ibid., 100-102.

⁵⁴ Mohammad Maarouf, *Ayyam 'Ishtuha 1949-1969 al-Inqilabat al-Askariyyah wa Asraruha fi Suriya* [Days I witnessed 1949-1969: Military Coups and their Secrets in Syria] (Beirut: Riyad El-Rayyes Books, 2003), 31-32.

55 Al- Zghayare, "Syrian Political Elites."

⁵⁶ Graduating officers from the Military Academy in Homs after independence were prominent in politics of the 1950s. These include Adnan al-Maliki, Suheil al-Ashi, Taleb al-Daghistani, and Faisal al-Atassi.

⁵⁷ Torrey, Syrian Politics and the Military, 157.

⁵⁸ Mounir Moussa, *Etude sociologique des Alaouites ou Nosaïris* [Sociological study of the Alaouites and Nosaïris] (Paris: R. Aron, 1958), 924-926; Eliezer Be'eri, *Army Officers in Arab Politics and Society* (London: Pall Mall Press, 1970), 336-337.

⁵⁹ Hanna Batatu, "Some Observations on the Social Roots of Syria's Ruling Military Group and the cause for its dominance", *The Middle East Journal* 35, (1981): 340-341

⁶⁰ Nikolaos van Dam, *al-Sira' ala al-sulta fi Suriyya: al-Ta'ifiya wal anshita al-asha'iria fi al-siyasa* [Struggle for Power in Syria: Sectarianism and Clan Activities in Politics] (Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 1995), 54.

⁶¹ "Article 1 of Decree No. 746 issued on October 24, 1953, by Syria's Ministry of National Defense", *Al-Jarida al-Rasmiyyah li al-Jumhuriyyah al-Suriyyah* [The Official Gazette of the Syrian Republic], November 12, 1953, 5326.

62 Batatu, Some Observations, 342

⁶³ Azmi Bishara, Suriyya: *Darb al-Alam nahw al-Huriyyah. Muhawalah fi al-Tarikh al-Rahin Azar 2011-Azar 2013* [Syria: Painful Path Towards Freedom. An Attempt at Contemporary History March 2011-March 2013] (Beirut: Arab Centre for Research and Policy Studies, 2013), 281.

⁶⁴ D. Pipes, "The Alawi Capture of Power in Syria", *Middle Eastern Studies* 25, (1989): 429-450.

⁶⁵ Itamar Rabinovich, *Syria Under the Ba'th, 1963-66: The Army Party Symbiosis* (Transaction Publishers, 1972).

⁶⁶ Raymond Hinnebush, *Syria the Revolution from above*, trans. Hazim Nahar (Beirut: Riad El-Rayyes Books, 2011), 112.

⁶⁷ Al- Zghayare, "Syrian Political Elites."

⁶⁸ Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 1:336-340; ibid., 1:357-363.

⁶⁹ Khalid al-Azm, mothakarat khalid al-azm [The Memoirs of Khalid al-Azm] (Beirut: al-Dar al-Motaheda lil-Nasher, 2003), 1:284-287.

⁷⁰ Regarding the agrarian issue and land ownership statistics in Syria before and after independence, see Ziad Keilany, "Socialism and Economic Change in Syria", *Middle Eastern Studies* 9, no.1 (January 1973): 61-72; Sayed Aziz al-Ahsan, "Economic Policy and Class Structure in Syria, 1958-1980", *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 16 (1984); Eva Garzouzi, "Land Reform in Syria", *Middle East Journal* 17, no. 1-2 (Winter-Spring 1963); Tabitha Petran, *Syria* (London: E. Benn, New York: Praeger, 1972).

⁷¹ Ghassan Salamé, *al-Mojtama' wal dawla fi al-Mashreq al-Arabi* [State and Society in the Arab Levant] (Beirut: Centre for Arab Unity Studies, 1987), 185.

⁷² Ibid., 186; Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 3:2266-2272.

⁷³ Salamé, *State and Society in the Arab Levant*, 187.

⁷⁴ Khoury, Syria and the French Mandate, 686.

⁷⁵ In September 1948, the Arab Ba'ath Party issued a statement saying, "The Palestine War was a trigger for the emergence of several conspiracies of which the army was the first victim." Michel Aflaq was consequently arrested. Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 1:808.

Al-Sharabati resigned on May 24, 1948. A parliamentary committee formed in March 1949 and published a report following an investigation into the Ministry of Defence and the Syrian Army. Ibid., 2:889-915.
 On defective weapons and rotten army provisions see, al-Azm, *Memoirs*, 2:181-184.

⁷⁸ For the contents of the letter see, ibid., 2:186-188.

⁷⁹ Ibid., 2:270.

⁸⁰ Gordon H. Torrey, *Syrian politics and the military 1945-1958* (Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 1964), 162.

⁸¹ Muhammad Umran, Tajrobati fi al-thawra [My Experience in the Revolution] (Beirut: Dar al-Jeel, 1970), 8.

⁸² Ibid., 10.

⁸³ Mattheiu Rey, "Qiyam al-anzima al'askariya fi al-Sharq al-Awsat: I'adat qira'a fi 'zaman al-thawrat': moqabala ma' Henry laurens" [The Birth of Military Regimes in the Middle East: Reinterpreting the 'Age of Revolutions': Interview with Henry Laurens], trans. Khouloud Al Zghayare, *Al-Jumhuriya*, August 18, 2018, https://www.aljumhuriya.net/ar

⁸⁴ These included Abd al-Ghani Ayyash and Bashir Sadiq. Batatu, *Some Observations*, 146-149.

⁸⁵ Bishara, Painful Path Towards Freedom, 297.

⁸⁶ Ibid.

⁸⁷ Batatu, Some Observations, 301.

⁸⁸ The election law in Syria went through four stages between the mandate period and 1958. First was the Mandate Law, which remained in effect from the mandate until 1947 and followed the two-round electoral system

(ballotage). The second stage comprised the 1947 Election Law, according to which the election became direct. Third was the election law that was drawn up in 1949 after Hosni Al-Za'im was deposed, in which the voting age was reduced from 21 to 18, and women were given the right to vote. The fourth stage began in 1954 when an amendment was made to the 1949 law. Parliament approved voting booths in election centers to ensure privacy and freedom of choice. This amendment freed peasants from the control of feudal lords and the pressure they imposed on them during elections. See, Owen, "Akram al-Hourani", 40-41.

⁸⁹ Torrey, Syrian Politics and the Military, 61.

- ⁹⁴ The parliamentary committee's investigative report on the Ministry of Defense and the Syrian army after the 1948 war includes details and testimonies of a group of officers on the military's involvement in rigging the 1947 elections based on al-Sharabati's directives. See, al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 2:914-915.
- ⁹⁵ The al-Atrash family had representation in the military establishment leadership through Mohammad, Hamad and Zaid al-Atrash; and in the Ba'ath Party leadership through Mansour, son of Sultan al-Atrash.
 ⁹⁶ The Jadid family held influence in the Syrian Social Nationalist Party leadership through Ghassan and Fouad Jadid. Whereas their brother, Sali

leadership through Ghassan and Fouad Jadid. Whereas their brother, Salah Jadid, and their relative, Azzat Jadid, were pillars of the military group in the Ba'ath Party.

- ⁹⁷ Bahij al-Kallas took part in the military coups and then became Deputy Chief of Staff of the Syrian Army. His brother, Khalil al-Kallas, was a member of parliament representing the Ba'ath Party, and then became Economy Minister.
- ⁹⁸ Sayyid Abdel Aal, *Al-Inqilabat al-askariya fi Suriyya 1949-1954* [Military Coup d'états in Syria 1949-1954] (Cairo: Madbouly Bookshop, 2007), 29.
- ⁹⁹ The weak representation of Damascene officers in the Syrian Army leadership that was formed after April 2, 1962 was widely acknowledged. There was only one Damascene officer out of the eight members. See, Abdul Karim Zahreddine, *Mothakarati 'an fatrat al-infisal fi Suriyya ma bayn 28 Ayloul 1961 wa 8 Athar 1963* [My Memoirs of the Secessionist Period in Syria between September 28, 1961 and March 8, 1963] (Beirut: Dar al-Ittihad, 1968), 66; ibid., 221.

¹⁰⁰ Ibid., 215-216. See also, Itamar Rabinovich, *Syria Under the Ba'th*, *1963-66: The Army Party Symbiosis* (Piscataway, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1972), 32-33.

⁹⁰ Ibid., 62.

⁹¹ Ibid., 64.

⁹² Tabitha Petran, Syria (London: Ernest Benn Limited, 1972), 87.

⁹³ Torrey, Syrian Politics and the Military, 88.

¹⁰¹ After al-Nahlawi's return to Syria along with his officers' who were exiled following the Homs Conference, insurgencies were reported in the Qatana, Qaboun and Kiswah military camps in support of al-Nahlawi. This prompted Colonel Ziad al-Hariri to move his forces on the front to Damascus, which was about to become a battleground for rivalling factions to take the capital. The insurgency ended the next day, with the insurgents' arrest and the expulsion of al-Nahlawi and his officers on the same day. See, Zahreddine, *My Memoirs*, 360-370.

¹⁰² Ibid., 372.

¹⁰³ Bishara, Painful Path Towards Freedom, 283.

¹⁰⁴ Azmi Bishara clarifies that the term '*ukhwat al-dawra*' is reminiscent of the league of Memluk soldiers that Ibn Iyas talked about in his historical works. It is the allegiance between professional, craftsmen and principality groups. It is a band of brothers rather than a sectarian doctrinal group. Ibid., 283.

¹⁰⁵ In the context of internal Ba'athist conflict, officers and civilians loyal to the National Command of the Ba'ath Party accused the Military Committee of sectarian practices in mobilizing supporters that undermine the legitimacy of the party. The Committee was also experiencing internal conflict after some of its members initiated sectarian military organization under the pretext of loyalty homogeneity. This led to the expulsion of Major General Muhammad Umran in 1964. Ibid., 284.

¹⁰⁶ Be'eri, *Army Officers in Arab Politics and Society*, 336; J. C. Hurewitz, *Middle East Politics: The Military Dimension*, (New York: Frederick A. Praeger, 1969), 153.

¹⁰⁷ Munif al-Razzaz, *Al-A'mal al-fikriya wal siyasiyya: Al-tajruba al-murra* [Intellectual and Political Works: The Bitter Experience] (Amman: Munif al-Razzaz Institute for National Studies, 1986), 158-159.

¹⁰⁸ Arab Socialist Ba'ath Party, Syria Regional Branch, Regional Command, *Azmat al-harb wa harakat 23 Shubat wa in'iqad al-mo'tamar al-qutri al-akhir* [Crisis of War, the Movement of 23 February, and the Last Regional Congress], Damascus, 1966, 20.

¹⁰⁹ Al-Azm, *Memoirs*, 3:88; Zayn al-Abidin, *Military and Politics in Syria*, 153.

¹¹⁰ See, al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 2:954-956.

111 Ibid.

112 Ibid.

¹¹³ Ibid.

¹¹⁴ The Times-London, August 26, 1949.

¹¹⁵ Akram al-Hourani (Arab Socialist Party), Abdel Wahab Homed (The People's Party), Mustafa al-Siba'i (The Muslim Brotherhood), Jalal al-Sayyid (Arab Ba'ath Party) and others objected to the fact that the constitutional oath did not explicitly stipulate maintaining the republican

system as a condition for Arab unity. See, Kouloud Al Zghayare, *Suriyya al-dawla wal hawiyya: Qira'a hawla mafaheem al-umma wal qawmiya wal dawla al-wataniah fi al-wa'i al-siyasi al-Suri 1946-1963* [Syria, the State and Identity: A Reading in the Concepts of Nation, Nationalism, and National State in Syrian Political Consciousness 1946-1963] (Doha: Arab Center for Research and Public Policy, 2020), 62.

¹¹⁶ Ibid., 63-64.

¹¹⁷ The Baghdad Pact Documents, Files 3 & 4, *People's Court Books*, Iraqi Ministry of Defence, 1681; ibid., 1124-1127; See, al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 2:1605.

¹¹⁸ Ibid., 2364.

¹¹⁹ Henry Laurens, *al-Lo'ba al-kubra: al-mashreq al-Arabi wal atma' al-duwaliya* [The Big Game: The Arab Orient and International Rivalries], trans. Abd al-Hakim al-Arbid and Rajab Budabous, 2nd ed. (Libya: Ad-dar Al-Jamahiriya for Publishing, 1993), 229.

¹²⁰ Seale, *The Struggle for Syria*, 307.

121 On November 24, 1956, a military spokesman announced that the government had uncovered a plot by the Baghdad Pact to overthrow the regime in Syria during the tripartite aggression against Egypt. The conspiracy was exposed following the arrival of a shipment of weapons to Jabal Al-Arab that was sent to Hassan Al-Atrash and Hayel Sorour from Iraq. The shipment lost its way and was confiscated by the Hajjana forces. Iraqi Prime Minister Nuri al-Said was accused of financing the plot, and a number of Syrian politicians were accused of being involved, including members of the People's Party, the Constitutional Bloc, and the Syrian Social Nationalist Party. Dozens were prosecuted including Faydi al-Atassi, Munir al-Ajlani, Mikhail Ilyan, Hasan al-Atrash, al-Shishkali, Sami Kabbara and Ghassan Jadid. This led to the resignation of Sabri Al-Asali's government in December and the formation of a coalition government that did not include ministers from the People's Party or the Constitutional Bloc. ¹²² On August 12, 1957, an announcement was made in Damascus that a plot to overthrow the regime, planned by the American embassy in Damascus, had been uncovered. As a result, three American diplomats were notified that they were no longer welcome. They are Military Attaché Robert Molloy, Second Secretary of the US Embassy Howard Stone and Deputy Consul Francis Jeton. The US government responded by expelling the Syrian ambassador, Farid Zayn al-Din, and one of his embassy employees. See, Seale, The Struggle for Syria, 383.

¹²³ Bonnie Saunders, *The United States and Arab Nationalism, the Syrian case, 1953-1960* (Westport: Praeger Publisher, 1996), 29-31; David W Lesch, *Syria and the United States, Eisenhower's Cold War in the Middle East* (Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1992)

- ¹²⁴ The Syrian government signed an agreement with Czechoslovakia to purchase weapons. At the same time, a contract was signed with the Soviet Union worth 800 million Syrian Pounds payable over long-term installments, to supply the Syrian Army with advanced weapons such as submarines, aircraft and artillery. See, al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 3:2363-2364. ¹²⁵ See, Zayn al-Abidin, *Military and Politics in Syria*, 251; Seale, *The Struggle for Syria*, 301-310.
- ¹²⁶ According to Mustafa Hamdoun, the Command Council was formed in secret in late 1956. Sessions were held in members' homes until Tawfiq Nizam al-Din was replaced with Afif al-Bizra as Chief-of-Staff. After that, sessions were publicly held in the Chief of Staff offices. See, al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 3:2490.
- ¹²⁷ Al-Razzaz, *Intellectual and Political Works*, 87; Zahreddine, *My Memoirs*, 19-21.
- ¹²⁸ Bishara, Painful Path Towards Freedom, 282.
- ¹²⁹ The Steel Shirts paramilitary group first appeared in Damascus on March 8, 1936. On the composition and activities of the group, see, Khoury, *Syria* and the French Mandate, 526-531.
- ¹³⁰ On the Nationalist Youth, the Umayyad Troop and other scout and youth groups, see, ibid., 457-465.
- ¹³¹ Khalid al-Azm, Memoirs, 3:32-33.
- ¹³² Markaz al-wathâ'îq al-wataniyya [National Centre for Documentation], Damascus, no. 13.
- ¹³³ Michael H. Van Dusen, *Intra- and inter-generational conflict in the Syrian Army* (Johns Hopkins University, 1971), 335.
- 134 Owen, "Akram al-Hourani", 42.
- ¹³⁵ One of the most important educators at the Military Academy in Homs was Nakhle Kallas, who taught mathematics. He had accompanied al-Hourani to Iraq and participated in the Rashid Ali al-Kilani revolt. His brothers, Bahij and Khalil Kallas, were friends with al-Hourani. They all grew up in the city of Hama.
- ¹³⁶ In his memoirs, al-Hourani provides an extensive defence of his non-involvement in military coups. Furthermore, through his research and interviews with al-Hourani, Jonathan Owen also asserts the inaccuracy of these accusations, but does not deny al-Hourani's strong relationship with the military.
- ¹³⁷ Ahmad Abdulla, "Namouthaj al-tahawol mn nizam 'askari ila nizam madani fi amrika al-latiniya" [The Transformation Model of Latin America from Military to Civilian Rule], *Siyassa Dawlia Magazine*, no.67 (1986): 87.
- ¹³⁸ Jaber Sayed Awad, "al-'askar wal siyasa fi amrika al-janoubiya" [Military and Politics in South America], *Siyassa Dawlia Magazine*, no.67 (1982): 42.

¹³⁹ Fouad Isaac el-Khoury, *Military and Rule in Arab Countries* (London: Dar al-Saqi, 1990), 53.

¹⁴⁰ Sydney Fisher, *Social forces in the Middle East*, (New York: Cornell university press, 1955), 173-174.

¹⁴¹ See Statement No.1 of Husni al-Za'im's coup in: Documents of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 218, file 3, vol.6, Report no.6, March 30, 1949; Nazir Fansa, *Ayyam Husni al-Za'im* [The Husni al-Za'im Days] (Beirut: Dar al-Afaq al-Jadida, 1982), 8; Hani al-Khair, *Adib al-Shishakli al-bidaya wal nihaya* [Adib al-Shishakli: The Beginning and the End] (Damascus: al-Inshaa' Publishers, 1994), 40-41; M.A.E.F.(L.F. a Damas) series Y., file 2A., vol 36, p. 284-285, R.No. 297, D. 2-4-1949.

¹⁴² Zayb al-Abidin, *Military and Politics in Syria*, 149-150; al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 2:929-930.

¹⁴³ Amin Abu Assaf, My Memoirs (Damascus, 1996), 299.

¹⁴⁴ Ibid., 281.

¹⁴⁵ Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 244, file 10, vol.4, report no.2, January 2, 1952.

¹⁴⁶ Ahmad Ibrahim Kheder, *'Ilm al-ijtimaa' al-askari* [Military Sociology] (Cairo: Dar al-Maaref, 1980), 291-292.

¹⁴⁷ The gendarmerie was in charge of maintaining security in the countryside, while the police force was in charge of maintaining security in cities. This system was put in place by the French. Husni al-Za'im merged these two forces and attached them to the Ministry of Defence, after they had been subject to the Ministry of Interior. Owen, *Akram al-Hourani*, 209. ¹⁴⁸ Torrey, *Syrian Politics and the Military*, 247.

¹⁴⁹ La documentation française, Bulletin Quotidien, R. No. 2053. D. 11-12-1951, P. 5; *Al-Ahram Newspaper*, November 11, 1951; *Akher Sa'a Magasine*, December 2, 1951.

¹⁵⁰ Al-Ahram Newspaper, November 11, 1951.

¹⁵¹ Petran, *Syria*, 102.

¹⁵² Abdel Aal, *Military Coup d'états in Syria*, 128.

¹⁵³Al-Ahram Newspaper, December 2, 1951.

¹⁵⁴ After the Supreme Military Council tightened its grip on the country, all telegrams sent from Syria were monitored by the military, and people were not allowed to leave the country without special approval. There were orders to search people exiting at the borders. Members of Parliament were not allowed to leave. Newspapers affiliated with the People's Party were suspended, and all documents in their party offices were examined. President al-Atassi was warned against making any statement regarding the circumstances of his resignation or his dispute with the army. Al-Shishakli monitored a number of officers he had previously transferred away from the capital before the coup and asked them not to return to Damascus without

permission from the General Staff. He sent military forces to Aleppo, Hama, and northern cities, and deployed troops in border areas. Moreover, 300 soldiers were sent to President al-Atassi's residence in Homs. See, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Egypt in Damascus, Portfolio 244, file 10, vol.3, report no.208, December 5, 1952; *Al-Ahram Newspaper*, December 6, 1951.

¹⁵⁵ The Communist Party issued a statement on June 25, 1949 urging the people to boycott the referendum called by Husni al-Za'im. See, Yousef al-Faisal, *Thikrayat wa mawaqef* [Memoirs and Stands] (Damascus: Dar Noun, 2011), 84-85.

- 156 Al-Hourani, Memoirs, 2:984.
- ¹⁵⁷ Al-Azm, *Memoirs*, 3:213.
- ¹⁵⁸ Ibid., 3:276-277.
- 159 Ibid., 2:274.
- ¹⁶⁰ Ibid., 2:9.
- ¹⁶¹ Ibid., 3:37.
- ¹⁶² Al-Khair, *Adib al-Shishakli*, 39.
- ¹⁶³ Fansa, The Husni al-Za'im Days, 44.
- ¹⁶⁴ Abu Assaf, My Memoirs, 291.
- ¹⁶⁵ Patrick Seale, *Asad: The Struggle for the Middle East* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989), 84.
- ¹⁶⁶ Ibid., 86-87.
- ¹⁶⁷ For the full decree, see, Publications of al-Hassakah Chamber of Agriculture in al-Jazeera, *Legislative Decree No. 87, March 28, 1953, on the basic monetary system and establishing the Central Bank of Syria* (Aleppo: Al-Dad Publishers, 1953).
- ¹⁶⁸ Rey, "Interview with Henry Laurens".
- by Ahmad Assasa and three others who claimed that his assassination was for political and religious reasons. They accused Shahbandar of being a British agent and an enemy of Islam. Accusations of complicity and incitement were brought against many people including members of the National Bloc such as Jamil Mardam, Lutfi al-Haffar and Saadallah al-Jabiri. They were able to escape and fled to Iraq. There were other possible conspirators including French and British intelligence agents, and Mufti of Palestine, Amin al-Husseini. The assassination paralyzed the People's Party which had revolved around Shahbandar. Moreover, the National Bloc regained its prominence under the new leadership of al-Quwatli, after those accused of the assassination fled the country. To this day, the inciting conspirators are not known.
- During Husni al-Za'im's rule after the first coup (March 30, 1949 December 14, 1949), during Adib al-Shishakli's rule after the third coup (November 29, 1949 February 25, 1954), during unity with Egypt

(February 21, 1958 – September 28, 1961), and during Ba'athist rule (March 8, 1963 – present).

- ¹⁷¹ Fadlallah Abu Mansour, *A'asir Dimashq* [Hurricanes of Damascus] (Beirut: Mothakarat, 1959), 37.
- ¹⁷² Bashir Fansa, *Al-nakbat wal moghamarat: Tarikh ma ahmalaho al-tarikh mn asrar al-inqilabat al-'askariya al-Suriyya 1949-1958* [Cataclysm and Adventures: The Neglected Historical Secrets of Syrian Military Coups 1949-1958] (Damascus: Dar Yarob Publishers for Research and Distribution, 1996), 91.
- ¹⁷³ Decree No.47 dated January 9, 1952 prohibited employees from to join political parties; Decree No. 100 dated January 31, 1952 prohibited students from joining political parties and groups; Decree No. 188 dated April 1, 1952 prohibited all types of advertisement for parties, associations, organisations and political bodies.
- ¹⁷⁴ Seale, Asad: The Struggle for the Middle East, 116.
- ¹⁷⁵ Safouh al-Akhrass, *Revolutionary change and modernization in the Arab World: A case from Syria* (Broché, 1971), 131.
- ¹⁷⁶ Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 2:1244.
- ¹⁷⁷ Ibid., 1552-1564.
- ¹⁷⁸ Hashim Othman, *al-Ahzab al-siyasiya fi Suriyya: al-sirriya wal mo'lana* [Secret and Disclosed Political Parties in Syria] (Beirut: Dar Riyad al-Rayyis, 2001), 41-42.
- ¹⁷⁹ Al-Azm, *Memoirs*, 2:272.
- ¹⁸⁰ The attempted coup was known as the 'Iraqi Conspiracy'. The US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) called it 'Operation Straggle'.
- ¹⁸¹ Zayn al-Abidin, Military and Politics in Syria, 272.
- ¹⁸² Laurens, The Big Game, 234.
- ¹⁸³ Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 3:2368-2380.
- ¹⁸⁴ Zahreddine, My Memoirs, 302-303.
- ¹⁸⁵ Cities in Rural Damascus.
- ¹⁸⁶ The failed Nasserist coup, led by Jasim Alwan, against the Ba'ath caused hundreds of deaths and injuries. Within hours, 27 officers were executed by a court-martial.
- ¹⁸⁷ Al-Hourani, Memoirs, 4:3188.
- ¹⁸⁸ Al-Hayat Special Delegate, "104 Dubbat Suriyeen ohilo ila al-taqa'od" [104 Syrian Officers Discharged], *Al-Hayat Newspaper*, March 15, 1963.
- ¹⁸⁹ Seale, Asad: The Struggle for the Middle East, 138.
- ¹⁹⁰ Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 4:3245.
- ¹⁹¹ Ibid., 3387.
- ¹⁹² Seale, Asad: The Struggle for the Middle East, 187.
- ¹⁹³ Al-Hourani, *Memoirs*, 4:3430.
- ¹⁹⁴ In 1965, sectarian discourse rose within the military institution, evident in the conflict between Sunni Amin al-Hafiz and Alawite Salah Jadid.

¹⁹⁵ Salamé, State and Society in the Arab Levant, 164.

¹⁹⁶ Raymond Hinnebush, Syria the Revolution from above, trans. Hazim Nahar (Beirut: Riad El-Rayyes Books, 2011), 38.

¹⁹⁷ Nazih N. M. Ayubi, Tadkhim al-dawla al-Arabiya: al-siyasa wal mojtama' fi al-sharq al-awsat [Over-Stating the Arab State: Politics and Society in the Middle East] trans. Amjad Hussein and Faleh Abdul Jabbar and Arab Organization for Translation (Beirut: Centre for Arab Unity Studies, 2010), 518.

¹⁹⁸ Roger Owen, "The Role of the Army in Middle Eastern Politics", Review of Middle East Studies, no. 5 (1978).

¹⁹⁹ Al-Hadadin, al-Haydariyin and al-Khaytin clans were marginalized in an effort to bring in loyalists from al-Nmelatiya branch of the al-Mtawra fighting clan and the al-Kalbiyya clan which President Hafez al-Assad was descended from. See, Bishara, Painful Path Towards Freedom, 289.

²⁰⁰ Ayubi, Over-stating the Arab State, 533-534; Salamé, State and Society in the Arab Levant, 166.

²⁰¹ Ibid., 166-167.

²⁰² Hinnebush, Syria the Revolution from above, 144-145.

²⁰³ See, Yasin al-Hafiz, Al-Hazimah wal ideologia al mahzoumah [The Defeat and the Defeated Ideology] (Damascus, Dar al-Hasad, 1997): 188; Yasin al-Hafez, Al-Aamal al-kamilah [The Full Works] (Beirut: Center for Arab Unity Studies, 2005): 858.

²⁰⁴ Ellen Kay Trimberger, Revolution from Above: Military Bureaucrats and Development in Japan, Turkey, Egypt, and Peru (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books, 1978).

²⁰⁵ Hinnebush, Syria Revolution from above.

²⁰⁶ Raymond Hinnebush, Authoritarian Power and State Formation in Ba'thist Syria: Army, Party and Peasant (Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press, 1990), 1-3; Nazih N. M. Ayubi, Over-stating the Arab State: Politics and Society in the Middle East (New York, I. B. Tauris, 1995), 196-223; Hinnebush, Syria the Revolution from above, 39-40.

²⁰⁷ Ibid., 40.